# Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

## Wisconsin Judicial Commission v. Michael J. Gableman

# Appeal Number 2008AP002458 - J

**Supreme Court** 

### **CASE HISTORY**

Status OCCD		<b>Filing Date</b> 08-13-2010	Anticipated Due Date	Activity Remittitur
OCCD	SC	07-06-2010		Letter/Correspondence
OCCD		06-30-2010 is. 2d 631		Cites
OCCD		06-30-2010 is. 2d 579		Cites
OCCD		06-30-2010 W.2d 631		Cites
OCCD		06-30-2010 W.2d 605		Cites
OCCD	SC	06-30-2010		Opinion/Decision

Opinion: Miscellaneous Order Decision: Other Order Pages: 57

Order Text: Chief Justice Shirley S. Abrahamson, Justice Ann Walsh Bradley and Justice N. Patrick Crooks conclude that by publishing the advertisement at issue, Justice Gableman willfully violated the first sentence of SCR 60.06(3)(c) and engaged in judicial misconduct pursuant to Wis. Stat. 757.81(4)(a). By means of the advertisement that he personally reviewed and checked out, Justice Gableman knowingly or with reckless disregard for the statements' truth or falsity misrepresented a fact concerning an opponent within the meaning of SCR 60.06(3)(c).

We further conclude that the rule emphasized in Garrison v. Louisiana and explicitly maintained in cases thereafter is determinative here: False statements knowingly made or false statements made in reckless disregard of their truth or falsity are not protected by the First Amendment. Because SCR 60.06(3)(c) incorporates this standard, its application to judicial discipline in the present case does not violate the First Amendment. It is clear that the court is equally divided regarding the disposition of the matter. No four justices have voted either to accept or to reject the Judicial Conduct Panel's recommendations, nor have four justices agreed on Justice Gableman's motion for summary judgment or any disposition of the Judicial Commission's complaint. No action can therefore be taken on the Panel's recommendation. The Judicial Commission has failed to obtain a majority of justices to reject

the recommendation of the Panel. Under these circumstances, the Panel is relieved of any further responsibility in this matter, and we remand the matter to the Judicial Commission with directions to request a jury hearing, in accord with Wis. Stat. 757.87, 757.89, and 805.08.

OCCD SC 06-30-2010

Opinion/Decision

Opinion: Miscellaneous Order Decision: Other Order Pages: 37

Order Text: Justice David T. Prosser, Justice Patience Drake Roggensack and Justice Annette Kingland Ziegler agree with the recommendation of the three-judge Judicial Conduct Panel that the Wisconsin Judicial Commission's complaint against Justice Michael J. Gableman must be dismissed.

The campaign advertisement that gave rise to the Commission's complaint against Justice Gableman and the governmental rule, SCR 60.06(3)(c), by which the Commission seeks to punish Justice Gableman for that advertisement must be examined under strict scrutiny because that is the standard required by the First Amendment according to the United States Supreme Court. Republican Party of Minnesota v. White, 536 U.S. 765, 788 (2002); Federal Election Comm'n v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc., 551 U.S. 449, 469 (2007). Under this standard, truthful statements cannot be punished. All parties agree that the facts are not in dispute and that all of the statements in the advertisement are true. Accordingly, we agree with the Panel that the undisputed facts demonstrate Justice Gableman did not violate SCR 60.06(3)(c).

OCCD SC 06-30-2010

Public Domain Citation

Comment: PDC No: 2010 WI 62

OCCD SC 06-30-2010

**Public Domain Citation** 

Comment: PDC No: 2010 WI 61

OCCD SC 06-30-2010

Judicial Comm. Complaint Filed

OCCD SC 04-16-2010

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Don Millis Submit Date: 4-16-2010 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 5-19-2010

ORD that the motion is denied as untimely. See Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19(7)(c).

Abrahamson, C.J., and Crooks, J., dissent.

Gableman, J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 04-16-2010

Oral Argument

OCCD SC 03-19-2010

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Eric McLeod Submit Date: 3-22-2010 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 3-29-2010

ORD that the motion for recusal is hereby denied.

OCCD SC 02-12-2010

Assigned-Oral Argument

OCCD SC 02-10-2010

Other Brief

Filed By: James	Alexander
-----------------	-----------

0000	04 00 0040	Other Duiet
OCCD SC	01-28-2010	Other Brief

Other Brief - Respondent Justice Gableman

Filed By: Eric McLeod

OCCD SC 01-22-2010 Letter/Correspondence

OCCD SC 01-21-2010 Letter/Correspondence

OCCD SC 01-08-2010 Other Brief

Other Brief - Wisconsin Judicial Commission

Filed By: James Alexander

OCCD SC 12-03-2009 Motion to Extend Time

Filed By: James Alexander Submit Date: 12-3-2009 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 12-4-2009

ORD that the motion is granted. The brief of the Wisconsin Judicial Commission shall be served and

filed on or before January 11, 2010. Gableman, J., did not participate. See BOT event due on 1-11-2010

#### OCCD SC 12-03-2009 Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that in response to the Panels submission, and pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19, the complainant, Wisconsin Judicial Commission, shall within 30 days of the date of this order, file a brief in this court or a statement that no brief will be filed; that within 20 days of the date of service of that brief or statement, the respondent, Michael J. Gableman, shall file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the respondent, within 10 days of the date of service of that brief, the complainant shall file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed.

Gableman, J., did not participate.

#### OCCD SC 11-13-2009

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Eric McLeod Submit Date: 11-13-2009 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 6-30-2010 See Decisions Issued Motion Response

Filed By: James Alexander Submit Date: 11-24-2009 Motion Response

Filed By: Eric McLeod Submit Date: 11-30-2009

OCCD SC 11-12-2009 Report of Judicial Conduct Panel Filed

OCCD SC 08-11-2009

Court Order

Panels Response to Motion for Summary Judgment. ORAL ARGUMENT scheduled for Wed., September 16, 2009 at 10:00 am in the CA District II courtroom, 2727 N Grandview Blvd, Ste 300, Waukesha WI.

OCCD SC 07-08-2009

Response

OCCD SC 06-18-2009

Response

OCCD SC 05-19-2009

Court Order

ORD that the Judicial Commission shall file a response to Justice Gableman's motion for summary judgment within 30 days from the date of this order.

FRO that Justice Gableman shall file a reply within 15 days of service of the Commission's memorandum.

OCCD SC 05-14-2009

Response

OCCD SC 05-11-2009

Motion for Summary Disposition

Filed By: Eric McLeod Submit Date: 5-12-2009 Decision: (O) Other

Decision Date: 11-12-2009

subm to #59, 35, 37, 38 with panels recommendation

Motion Response

Filed By: James Alexander Submit Date: 6-18-2009 Motion Response Filed By: Eric McLeod Submit Date: 7-9-2009

OCCD SC 05-11-2009

Response

OCCD SC 04-30-2009

Court Order

ORD that the Judicial Commission's motion to compel is denied.

FRO that the Judicial Commission and Justice Gableman file a response to the matters set forth above within 10 days from the date of this order.

OCCD SC 04-17-2009

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: James Alexander Submit Date: 4-17-2009 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 4-30-2009

ORD that the Judicial Commission's motion to compel is denied.

FRO that the Judicial Commission and Justice Gableman file a response to the matters set forth above

within 10 days from the date of this order.

OCCD SC 04-16-2009

Response

OCCD	SC	04-01-2009	Response
OCCD	SC	02-27-2009	Response
OCCD	ORD to FRO to Common Stater FRO to identificate mater from the FRO to proffer	nission contends are material to the distribution of the date of the hat Justice Gableman shall file a Resplying those facts he contends are disputaterial to the disposition of this matter. The service of the Commission's Statement that the Commission shall file a Reply the day Justice Gableman that it contents from the service of Justice Gableman.	Court Order  aukesha County.  a Statement of Proposed Facts identifying all facts that the sposition of this matter. The Commission shall file its is order and serve a copy on counsel for Justice Gableman. Sonse to the Commission's Statement of Proposed Facts atted and proffering any additional facts which he contends Justice Gableman shall file his statement within 30 days ment and serve a copy on counsel for the Commission.  To Justice Gableman's Response identifying those facts ds are disputed. The Commission shall file its Reply within an's Response and serve a copy on counsel for Justice
OCCD		01-26-2009	Response
OCCD	ORD t		
OCCD	ORD t	12-15-2008 hat the Hon. Michael J. Gableman file ry 5, 2009.	Court Order a response to the motion to dismiss on or before
OCCD	SC	12-08-2008	Response
OCCD	Filed I Subm Decisi Decisi ORD 1 Woud	enberg is granted.	Motion for Pro Hac Vice status  ion of Attorneys James Bopp, Jr., and Anita Y.  a Reply within the time set forth in WIS Stat. 802.06(1)
OCCD	SC	11-19-2008	Response
OCCD	ORD t	of the date of this order. If no answer is	Court Order an shall serve and file an answer to the complaint within 20 timely filed, application for a default judgment may be
OCCD	SC	10-28-2008	Court Order

## WSCCA Case History

ORD that the Hon. David G. Deininger, Reserve Judge, be assigned to act in case number 08AP2458-J.

OCCD	SC	10-28-2008	Judicial Conduct Panel
OCCD		10-22-2008 hat the October 22, 2008 order is withd	Court Order rawn.
OCCD	ORD t	10-22-2008 hat the Honorable Neal Nettesheim, Re P2458-J.	Court Order eserve Judge, be assigned to act in case number
OCCD	SC	10-20-2008	Judicial Conduct Panel
OCCD	SC	10-10-2008	Referral to CA for Appt of Panel
OCCD	SC	10-07-2008	Judicial Comm. Complaint Filed