Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

State v. Travis Vondell Cross

Appeal Number 2009AP000003 - CR

Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status OCCD	SC	01-27-2011	Anticipated Due Date . Supreme Court Clerk's O	Activity Letter/Correspondence ffice: petition for writ of cert. denied 1/24/11. cjp
OCCD	SC	11-01-2010	<u> </u>	Letter/Correspondence
OCCD	SC	08-11-2010		Remittitur
OCCD		07-08-2010 is. 2d 492		Cites
OCCD		07-08-2010 W.2d 64		Cites
OCCD	SC 07-08-2010 Opinion/Decision Judge Panel: Abrahamson, Bradley, Crooks, Prosser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed Pages: 22 Written by: Gableman, Michael J. Abrahamson, Shirley S. Wrote Concurring Opinion 6 pages. Bradley, Ann Walsh Joined Concurring Opinion Order Text: The judgment and order of the circuit court is affirmed.			
OCCD		07-07-2010 ent: PDC No: 2	2010 WI 70	Public Domain Citation
OCCD	SC	12-01-2009		Oral Argument
OCCD		11-20-2009 RDERED that	the motion to Justice Micl	Court Order nael J. Gableman individually is hereby denied.
OCCD	SC	11-11-2009		Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Page 1 of 5

Filed By: William Schmaal

Submit Date: 11-11-2009 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 3-1-2010

PER CURIAM. The members of the court disagree as to the disposition of the motions of defendant-appellant-petitioner, Travis Vondell Cross, for the recusal of Justice Michael J. Gableman. Justice Gableman is not participating in this order.

Three Justices, Chief Justice Shirley S. Abrahamson, Justice Ann Walsh Bradley, and Justice N. Patrick Crooks, would order briefs and oral argument, as the parties have requested, including among the issues to be briefed and argued the defendant-appellant-petitioner's right to appeal under Wis. Const. Art. I, sec. 21.

Three Justices, Justice David T. Prosser, Justice Patience Drake Roggensack, and Justice Annette Kingsland Ziegler, would issue an order denying the motions.

The parties are referred to the court's order of February 11, 2010, in State v. Allen, No. 2007AP795, 2010 WI 10, ___ Wis. 2d ___, __ N.W.2d ___, 2010 WL 457545, for the justices' writings in explanation.

Because the members of the court disagree as to the disposition of defendant-appellant-petitioner's motions as set forth above, the motions are not granted. No four justices have agreed to grant the motions.

Motion Response

Filed By: James Freimuth Submit Date: 11-17-2009 Motion Response

Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 11-19-2009

OCCD	SC	10-05-2009	Briefs Received At State Law Library
OCCD	sc	09-28-2009	Assigned-Oral Argument
OCCD	SC	08-20-2009	Other Brief Plaintiff-Respondent's Supplemental Brief
	Filed B	sy: James Freimuth	
OCCD	SC	08-07-2009	Other Brief Defendant-Appellant's Supplemental Brief
	Filed B	sy: William Schmaal	
OCCD	SC	07-24-2009	Response
OCCD	SC	07-21-2009	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 7-22-2009 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 7-23-2009

ORD that the motion is granted. Defendant-appellant shall have until 8/10/09, to serve and file a supplemental brief. The plaintiff-respondent may file a reply to the supplemental brief by 8/24/09.

OCCD	SC	07-15-2009	Court Changed to Supreme Court		
OCCD	CA	07-15-2009	Hold Status		
OCCD	CA	05-29-2009	Briefs Received At State Law Library		
OCCD	SC	05-13-2009	Fee Waived		
OCCD	Filed B Submit Decision Decision IT IS C court; a appeal their br oral are Motion Filed B	SC 05-13-2009 Petition to Bypass Filed By: James Freimuth Submit Date: 5-28-2009 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 7-15-2009 IT IS ORDERED the petition to bypass is granted and the appeal is accepted for consideration in this court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the briefs previously submitted by the parties to the court of appeals may stand as the parties' briefs in this court. The parties shall submit 17 additional copies of their briefs within 10 days of the date of this order. The parties will be notified of the date and time for oral argument in this appeal due course. Motion Response Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 5-28-2009			
OCCD	CA	05-11-2009	Record and Briefs Sent to District 3		
OCCD		05-08-2009 sy: William Schmaal	Reply Brief Reply Brief		
OCCD		04-30-2009 sy: James Freimuth	Brief of Respondent(s) Brief of Respondent(s)		
OCCD	Filed B Submit Decision Decision ORD c	04-14-2009 by: James Freimuth t Date: 4-14-2009 bn: (G) Grant bn Date: 4-14-2009 ourt grants extension to 5/1/09. RS event filed on 4-30-2009	Motion to Extend Time		
OCCD	CA	04-03-2009	Attorney Change		
OCCD		03-23-2009 by: William Schmaal	Brief & Appendix of Appellant(s) Brief of Appellant(s)		
OCCD	CA	02-11-2009	Motion for Summary Disposition		

Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 2-17-2009 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 2-20-2009 ORD that the motion is denied.

Motion Response Filed By: Gregory Weber Submit Date: 2-17-2009

OCCD	CA	02-05-2009	Sealed Documents
OCCD	CA	02-05-2009	Record
OCCD	CA	01-02-2009	Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record
OCCD	CA	01-02-2009	Fee Waived
OCCD	CA	01-02-2009	Notice of Appeal & Circuit Court Docket Entries
OCCD	CA	01-02-2009	X Transfer
OCCD	CA	12-26-2008	Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.
OCCD	Filed B	12-23-2008 sy: William Schmaal Prev. Filed	Statement on Transcript
OCCD	CA	07-28-2008	Judgment/Order of Circuit Court
OCCD	Submit Decision	04-09-2008 by: William Schmaal t Date: 4-9-2008 on: (O) Other on Date: 4-21-2008	Mot. Extend Time to file NAP/PCM

OCCD CA 01-07-2008

Mot. Extend Time to Decide Postconviction Motion

Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 1-8-2008 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 1-9-2008

ORD that the motion is dismissed as superfluous.

ORD that the time for filing a supplemental postconviction motion is extended to make timely the motion

filed on 1/3/08. FRO that the time for deciding the postconviction motion is extended to 3/24/08.

OCCD CA 11-28-2007

Mot. Extend Time to Decide Postconviction Motion

Filed By: William Schmaal Submit Date: 11-28-2007

WSCCA Case History

Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 11-30-2007

ORD that the time for deciding the postconviction motion is extended to February 6, 2008.

OCCD CA 03-28-2007

Judgment of Circuit Court