

Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

Three Unnamed Petitioners v. Gregory A. Peterson

Appeal Number 2013AP002508 - W

[CONSOLIDATED](#) - [List of Consolidated Cases](#)
Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
OCCD	SC	03-28-2019		Letter/Correspondence Comment: Copy of letter from attorney Edward Greim to John Doe Judge Kelley with attached proposed order
OCCD	SC	05-23-2018		Remittitur Comment: Case Closed per April 5, 2018 Order.
OCCD	SC	04-18-2018		Other Papers Comment: Copy of letter from Attorney Wilson to John Doe Judge Kelley re: removal of attorney name from list of interested parties.
OCCD	SC	04-17-2018		Other Papers Comment: Copy of letter in response to Feb. 1, 2018 inquiries filed with John Doe Judge Kelley by Attorney Ambrose on behalf of David Robles.
OCCD	SC	04-06-2018		Other Papers Comment: Copy of letter, memorandum, and exhibits filed with John Doe Judge Kelley by Milwaukee County District Attorney Chisholm
OCCD	SC	04-05-2018		Other Papers Comment: Copy of Brief in Response to February 1, 2018, Inquiries filed with John Doe Judge Kelley by Unnamed Movants 2, 4-7, along with cover letter.
OCCD	SC	03-30-2018		Letter/Correspondence Comment: Copy of Letter from Judge Kelley to Attorney Ambrose re: extension briefing deadline
OCCD	SC	02-22-2018		Motion for Miscellaneous Relief Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew) Submit Date: 2-22-2018 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 4-5-2018

ORD that the motion of Unnamed Movants' 2-7 for clarification is granted in part, as set forth in this order. The remaining requests for relief in the motion are denied.

FRO that the John Doe judge has full authority, as set forth above, to take all actions to effectuate the mandate of this court, as set forth in this court's July 16, 2015 and December 2, 2015 decisions, including the closure and wind up of those John Doe proceedings, and full authority to address all collateral matters not addressed by this court in its decisions and orders so long as the John Doe judge's actions do not undo or are not inconsistent with this court's July 16, 2015 and December 2, 2015 decisions.

FRO that electronic copies of the records in Milwaukee Cty. Case No. 2012JD23 and Dane Cty. Case No. 2013JD9 are remitted to the clerks of those respective circuit courts. The clerk of this court shall provide the original paper records and an electronic copy of those records to the John Doe judge for his use and disposition in his discretion pursuant to applicable law. The John Doe judge shall have full authority to make decisions regarding access to, and use of, said records.

FRO that the clerk of this court shall transfer to the custody and care of the John Doe judge the John Doe evidence submitted to the clerk pursuant to this court's December 2, 2015 opinion and order. The John Doe judge shall have full authority to make decisions regarding access to, and the disposition of, that evidence. The John Doe judge shall also have full authority to take actions regarding access to, and the disposition of, any John Doe evidence that should have been gathered and submitted pursuant to this court's December 2, 2015 opinion and order, but was not.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, and DANIEL KELLY, J.J., did not participate.
Comment: Motion for Clarification of Mandate by Unnamed Movants 2-7

OCCD SC 01-29-2018 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Cover letter and index from the Department of Justice concerning evidence DOJ received from Atty Schmitz and the Wisconsin Ethics Commission. Received pursuant to the December 2, 2015 Order of this court. (Cover letter in public file, Index under seal pending determination of the court).

OCCD SC 12-01-2017 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: from Atty Schwarzenbart w/attached index

OCCD SC 12-01-2017 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: from Atty Schwarzenbart

OCCD SC 01-20-2017 Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that the clerk of this court shall place into the public court file the documents identified in Attachment A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this court shall place into the public court file the redacted versions of the documents identified in Attachment B that the court is providing to the clerk of this court with the original of this order. The clerk of this court shall maintain as confidential under seal the original, unredacted versions of the documents identified in Attachment B pending further order of the court.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY and DANIEL KELLY, J.J., did not participate.
SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (concurring in part, dissenting in part).

OCCD SC 11-03-2016 Other Papers

Comment: Amended table to Affidavit filed 11/2/16 pursuant to 12/2/15 court order filed by Atty Schmitz (Under seal pending determination of the court). Cover letter (public file)

OCCD SC 11-02-2016 Other Papers

Comment: Affidavit and Index concerning John Doe II Evidence w/ attached table filed by Atty Schmitz

OCCD	SC	11-02-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: from J. Abrahamson with AAG Rice 10/20/2016 letter attached			

OCCD	SC	11-02-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: October 20, 2016 Letter from AAG Rice on behalf of Judge Wambach			

OCCD	SC	10-27-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Letter from A.G. Schimel re: Appointment of Special Master			

OCCD	SC	10-21-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Response to WI Freedom of Information Council from Clerk			

OCCD	SC	10-20-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: from WI Freedom of Information Council re: access to records			

OCCD	SC	10-14-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: from Unnamed Movant #2 re: 10/13/16 Motion			

OCCD	SC	10-13-2016	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)			
Submit Date: 10-13-2016			
Decision: (D) Deny			
Decision Date: 11-21-2016			
IT IS ORDERED that the motion of Unnamed Movants Nos. 6 & 7 for immediate supervised return of property and for criminal investigation is denied. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion of Unnamed Movant No. 2 for post-judgment relief is denied.			
ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, and DANIEL KELLY, J.J., did not participate.			
SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (dissenting).			
Motion Response			
Filed By: Francis Schmitz			
Submit Date: 10-27-2016			
Comment: Unnamed Movant No. 2's Motion for Post-Judgment Relief (Under Seal Pending Further Determination of Court) 10/27/2016 Response of Attorney Schmitz and District Attorneys (Under Seal Pending Further Determination of Court)			

OCCD	SC	10-11-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Letter of Attorney Schmitz re: his intention to respond to "Motion of Unnamed Movants 6 and 7 for Immediate Supervised Return of Property and for Criminal Investigation." [original received 10/14/16 US mail]			

OCCD	SC	10-07-2016	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Dean Strang			
Submit Date: 10-7-2016			
Decision: (D) Deny			
Decision Date: 11-21-2016			
IT IS ORDERED that the motion of Unnamed Movants Nos. 6 & 7 for immediate supervised return of			

property and for criminal investigation is denied. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion of Unnamed Movant No. 2 for post-judgment relief is denied.
ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, and DANIEL KELLY, J.J., did not participate.
SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (dissenting).

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 10-20-2016

Comment: "Motion of Unnamed Movants 6 and 7 for Immediate Supervised Return of Property and for Criminal Investigation." 10/20/2016 Response of Attorney Schmitz - (Under Seal Pending Further Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 09-20-2016 Other Papers
Comment: filing by J. Abrahamson re: Order of September 20, 2016

OCCD SC 09-20-2016 Court Order
IT IS ORDERED that the clerk of this court shall place into the public court file in the three matters identified above the redacted version of "Respondent - Special Prosecutor's Response to Movant No. 2's Notice of Statutory Changes." The original of that document shall continue to be maintained as confidential under seal.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, AND DANIEL KELLY, J.J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 04-26-2016 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: From J. Abrahamson to Attorney DeLaquil re: Public Records Request. Copied to Clerk Fremgen and All interested persons, without attachments.

OCCD SC 04-11-2016 Caption Amended

OCCD SC 02-22-2016 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Paul Ferguson
Submit Date: 2-22-2016
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 4-11-2016
ORD that the motions are granted.
Ann Walsh Bradley and Rebecca G. Bradley, J.J., did not participate.
Comment: Motion of Paul M. Ferguson to withdraw as counsel to Ethics and Public Policy Center

OCCD SC 02-22-2016 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: James Troupis
Submit Date: 2-22-2016
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 4-11-2016
ORD that the motions are granted.
Ann Walsh Bradley and Rebecca G. Bradley, J.J., did not participate.
Comment: Motion of James R. Troupis to withdraw as counsel to Ethics and Public Policy Center

OCCD SC 02-22-2016 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Letter from U.S. Supreme Court advising of extension of time for filing a petition for writ of certiorari.

OCCD	SC	02-08-2016	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Copy of letter dated 2/8/16 re open records request from J. Abrahamson to Attorney Mark W. DeLaquil and copied to Clerk Fremgen, All Interested Parties and Participating Justices, enclosing copies of letters dated 11/10/15, 11/18/15 and 1/21/16 from Attorney DeLaquil, with responses from Clerk Fremgen dated 11/11/15 and 12/23/15.			
OCCD	SC	02-05-2016	Other Papers
Comment: Letter from Deputy A.G. Cook to the court			
OCCD	SC	02-05-2016	Motion to Strike
<p>Filed By: John Chisholm</p> <p>Submit Date: 2-5-2016</p> <p>Decision: (D) Deny</p> <p>Decision Date: 4-15-2016</p> <p>ORD that the motion to strike is denied. The court treated the copies it received of letters sent by counsel for Unnamed Movants 2, 7 and 8 to the Attorney General as simply courtesy copies of correspondence. The court did not consider those letters, which did not request any relief or action from the court, to be additional responses to the Intervenor District Attorneys motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders and did not rely on those letters in deciding the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders. The court also did not consider the letter sent by Deputy Attorney General Andrew Cook to be a response to the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders, and it did not rely on that letter in deciding the motion to amend the secrecy orders. Moreover, the court has issued its decision on the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders, and there is no reason to strike those letters as untimely responses to that motion. Finally, the court notes that it does not intend to solicit any further briefing regarding the matters discussed in the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders and the subsequent briefing on that motion.</p> <p>ANN WALSH BRADLEY AND REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate.</p> <p>SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (concurring).</p> <p>Motion Response</p> <p>Filed By: Dean Strang</p> <p>Submit Date: 2-8-2016</p> <p>Motion Response</p> <p>Filed By: John Chisholm</p> <p>Submit Date: 2-10-2016</p> <p>Comment: Intervenor's motion to strike 2/1/16 letter from Attorney Strang to Attorney General, letter from Attorney Meyers to Attorney General filed 2/4/16, and letter from Deputy A.G. Cook to the court filed 2/5/16 2/8/15 Unnamed Movant #7's response to motion to strike; 2/10/16 DA's Letter to Withdraw Portion of Motion to Strike Relating to Attorney Edward Meyers</p>			
OCCD	SC	02-04-2016	Other Papers
Comment: Letter from Attorney Meyers to Attorney General			
OCCD	SC	02-03-2016	Response
Comment: A reply to the responses may be filed no later than noon on Wednesday, February 3rd.			
OCCD	SC	02-02-2016	Attorney Change
Comment: Address change for DA Chisholm			
OCCD	SC	02-01-2016	Other Papers

OCCD SC 02-01-2016 Court Order

ORD that a reply to the responses may be filed no later than noon on Wednesday, February 3, 2016.
Ann Walsh Bradley and Rebecca G. Bradley, J.J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 01-27-2016 Court Order

ORD that any responses to the Intervenor's motion to amend the John Doe secrecy orders shall be filed no later than noon on Monday, February 1, 2016.
FRO that the clerk's office shall maintain the original version of the motion under seal pending further order of the court, and shall place the redacted version of the motion into the public court files for the matters identified above.
Ann Walsh Bradley and Rebecca G. Bradley, J.J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 01-25-2016 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: John Chisholm
Submit Date: 1-26-2016
Decision: (O) Other
Decision Date: 2-5-2016

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders to grant access to unredacted portions of the John Doe II records and this court's records to Attorney Brian A. Sutherland, Attorney Kasey J. Curtis, Attorney M. Patrick Yingling, and Ms. Laura Espino of Reed Smith LLP is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders to grant access to unredacted portions of the John Doe II records and this court's records to an unspecified specialist printing company and its employees is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to amend the John Doe II secrecy orders to allow Intervenor's, District Attorney John T. Chisholm, District Attorney Ismael R. Ozanne, and District Attorney Larry E. Nelson to file unredacted versions of documents contained in the John Doe II records and this court's records in the United States Supreme Court, provided that the Intervenor's seek leave to file such documents under seal in that Court, is granted.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY AND REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate.
SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (concurring in part, dissenting in part).

Motion Response

Filed By: Eric Wilson
Submit Date: 2-1-2016

Motion Response

Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Submit Date: 2-1-2016

Motion Response

Filed By: Dennis Coffey
Submit Date: 2-1-2016

Motion Response

Filed By: John Chisholm
Submit Date: 2-3-2016

Comment: Motion of DA's Chisholm, Ozanne and Nelson to Amend Secrecy Orders per 1/27/16 court order the redacted version should be placed in the public files and the original version to remain under seal; 2/1/16: Unnamed Movant #4 & #5 Objection to District Attorneys Motion to Amend John Doe Secrecy Orders. 2/1/16: Unnamed Movant #6's Memorandum in Opposition to Intervenor's Motion to Amend the Secrecy Orders. (Filed after deadline) 2/1/16: Unnamed Movant #2, #7 & #8s Memorandum in Opposition to Intervenor's Motion to Amend the Secrecy Orders. (Filed after deadline); 2/3/16 Consolidated Reply to Motion to Amend the Secrecy Orders

OCCD	SC	01-25-2016	Response	Comment: Response of Attorney Schmitz to Unnamed Movant 6 and 7's Notice of Noncompliance, with redacted Exhibits A and B.
OCCD	SC	01-14-2016	Letter/Correspondence	Comment: Letter from Attorney Schmitz in re Response to 1/13/16 Notice of Noncompliance
OCCD	SC	01-13-2016	Other Papers	Comment: Unnamed Movant 6 and 7s' Notice of Noncompliance (filed by Attorney Strang in response to Former Special Prosecutor's 1-5-16 Statement of Compliance)
OCCD	SC	01-05-2016	Other Papers	Comment: Former Special Prosecutor's Statement of Compliance (filed by Attorney Schmitz in response to December 2, 2015 opinion and order.)
OCCD	SC	12-21-2015	Other Papers	Comment: Statement filed by J. Abrahamson regarding the unsealing of three responses.
OCCD	SC	12-18-2015	Motion to Intervene	<p>Filed By: John Chisholm Submit Date: 12-18-2015 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 1-12-2016 ORD that the motion of Milwaukee County District Attorney John T. Chisholm, Assistant District Attorney Bruce Landgraf, and Assistant District Attorney David Robles for limited intervention is denied; FRO that the motion of Milwaukee County District Attorney John T. Chisholm, Dane County District Attorney Ismael Ozanne, and Iowa County District Attorney Larry E. Nelson to intervene as parties in the three above-referenced proceedings in this court is granted.</p> <p>ANN WALSH BRADLEY and REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J., SEPARATE WRITING CONCURRING IN PART DISSENTING IN PART Comment: Motion of 3 District Attorneys to Intervene as Parties (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 12/18/15 Documents released to public file</p>
OCCD	SC	12-17-2015	Response	Comment: Response of Columbia County District Attorney to December 4, 2015 Court Order (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court). 12/18/15 Documents released to public file
OCCD	SC	12-11-2015	Response	Comment: Response of Dodge County District Attorney to December 4, 2015 Court Order (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court). 12/18/15 Documents released to public file
OCCD	SC	12-04-2015	Court Order	IT IS ORDERED that if any or all of the district attorneys of Columbia, Dane, Dodge, Iowa, and Milwaukee Counties wishes to request permission to intervene as a party for all purposes in the above-referenced matters, such motion or motions for intervention shall be filed with the clerk of this court on or before December 18, 2015.

S. Abrahamson, J. Dissenting.
A.W. Bradley and R.G. Bradley, J.J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 12-02-2015 Cites
875 N.W.2d 49

OCCD SC 12-02-2015 Cites
365 Wis. 2d 351

OCCD SC 12-02-2015 [Opinion/Decision](#)
Judge Panel: Roggensack, Abrahamson, Bradley, Prosser, Ziegler, Gableman, Bradley
Opinion: Per Curiam
Decision: Denied Pages: 29
Abrahamson, Shirley S. Wrote Concur/Dissent Opinion 45 pages.
Order Text: ORD that the motion for reconsideration and the motion for stay are denied. FRO that the mandate of this court is modified and clarified as set forth above. FRO that Attorney Francis Schmitz shall immediately cease acting as special prosecutor, except that he shall perform the specific tasks imposed on him by the court in this opinion. By the Court. - The motion for reconsideration is denied, the motion for stay is denied, and the mandate is clarified, as described in the opinion.
Ann Walsh Bradley, J., and Rebecca G. Bradley, J., did not participate.
N. Patrick Crooks, J., passed away while these motions were pending and prior to their final resolution by the court.

OCCD SC 12-01-2015 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: From J. Abrahamson to Attorney Greim re: Open Records Request. Copied to Clerk Fremgen, All Interested Parties and Participating Justices without attachments.

OCCD SC 12-01-2015 Public Domain Citation
Comment: PDC No: 2015 WI 103

OCCD SC 11-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movant No. 2's Reply re: Notice of Statutory Changes (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 11-11-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Special Prosecutor Schmitz Response to Unnamed Movant No. 2's Notice of Statutory Changes filed October 28, 2015 (Original and Proposed Redacted copy filed Under Seal Pending Determination of Court). September 20, 2016 CTO, Redacted copy placed in public file.

OCCD SC 10-30-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Letter of Francis Schmitz re: Unnamed Movant No. 2's Notice of Statutory Changes (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court).

OCCD SC 10-29-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Letter re: 2015 Wis. Act 64 from John Doe Judge (filed by Attorney Rice) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 10-28-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Unnamed Movant No. 2's Notice of Statutory Changes (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 10-14-2015

Motion to Intervene

Filed By: Jeffrey Fertl

Submit Date: 10-14-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-12-2016

ORD that the motion of Milwaukee County District Attorney John T. Chisholm, Assistant District Attorney Bruce Landgraf, and Assistant District Attorney David Robles for limited intervention is denied;

FRO that the motion of Milwaukee County District Attorney John T. Chisholm, Dane County District Attorney Ismael Ozanne, and Iowa County District Attorney Larry E. Nelson to intervene as parties in the three above-referenced proceedings in this court is granted.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY and REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J., SEPARATE WRITING CONCURRING IN PART DISSENTING IN PART

Motion Response

Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)

Submit Date: 10-22-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Jeffrey Fertl

Submit Date: 11-12-2015

Comment: Motion for Limited Intervention by Prosecutors (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court);

10/22/2015 Response from Unnamed Movant #2 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court);

11/12/2015 Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Petition for Limited Intervention (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court).

OCCD SC 09-03-2015

Motion to Intervene

Filed By: Douglas Knott

Submit Date: 9-3-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 12-2-2015

ORD that the motions are denied.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY and REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate.

N. PATRICK CROOKS, J., passed away while these motions were pending and prior to their final resolution by the court.

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (dissenting).

Motion Response

Filed By: Diane Slomowitz

Submit Date: 9-11-2015

Comment: Motion for Limited Intervention by Law Enforcement Officer (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 9/11/15: Response of Unnamed Movant #2 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 09-03-2015

Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 9/3/15 re open records request from J. Abrahamson to Attorney Greim and copied to Clerk Fremgen, All Interested Parties and Participating Justices, enclosing copies of letters dated 7/31/15 and 8/20/15 from Attorney Greim, with attached cover letter to Clerk.

OCCD SC 08-31-2015

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Eric Wilson

Submit Date: 8-31-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 12-2-2015

ORD that the motion is denied.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY and REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate.

N. PATRICK CROOKS, J., passed away while these motions were pending and prior to their final resolution by the court.

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (concurring).

Comment: Motion of Unnamed Movant nos. 4 & 5 for Immediate Remand to John Doe Judge and Joinder of Pending Responses (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-26-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/26/15 from J. Abrahamson to Interested Persons and Clerk Fremgen, enclosing copy of letter dated 8/20/2015 from Attorney Greim.

OCCD SC 08-25-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 8-25-2015

Decision: (O) Other

Decision Date: 8-26-2015

IT IS ORDERED that, on or before September 2, 2015, Attorney Francis D. Schmitz may file a reply in support of the motion for reconsideration addressing the reply issues he deems appropriate.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: Motion to Strike Portion of Response to Motion for Reconsideration or Alternatively to File a Reply Brief (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-20-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/20/15 from J. Abrahamson to Clerk of Court enclosing email correspondence referenced in section VIII of J. Abrahamson letter filed 8/13/15 (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-19-2015 Motion to Intervene

Filed By: Douglas Knott

Submit Date: 8-20-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 12-2-2015

ORD that the motions are denied.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY and REBECCA G. BRADLEY, J.J., did not participate.

N. PATRICK CROOKS, J., passed away while these motions were pending and prior to their final resolution by the court.

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J. (dissenting).

Motion Response

Filed By: Diane Slomowitz

Submit Date: 8-28-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Timothy Hansen

Submit Date: 8-31-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Eric Wilson

Submit Date: 8-31-2015

Comment: Motion for Limited Intervention by Investigators (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

8/28/15: Response of Unnamed Movant #2--joined by Unnamed Movant nos. 7 & 8 (Under Seal

Pending Determination of Court) 8/31/15: Response of Unnamed Movant #3 Joining Response of

Unnamed Movant #2 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 8/31/15: Response of Unnamed Movant nos. 4 & 5 Joining Response of Unnamed Movant #2 (contained in motion for remand) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-14-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/14/2015 from Attorney Strang to participating justices (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-14-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/13/15 from Special Prosecutor to supreme court (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-13-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Email correspondence dated 8/12/2015 from Judge Nettesheim to CJ Roggensack (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-13-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/12/15 from J. Abrahamson to counsel and other interested parties, enclosing copy of letter dated 7/31/2015 from Attorney Greim, with cover letter to clerk of supreme court.

OCCD SC 08-12-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Email correspondence dated 8/6/2015 from Judge Nettesheim to CJ Roggensack (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-12-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Email correspondence dated 8/5/2015 from Judge Peterson to Attorney Greim (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-12-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Email correspondence dated 8/5/2015 from Attorney Greim to CJ Roggensack (Under Seal Pending Determination of the Court)

OCCD SC 08-11-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/6/15 from Special Prosecutor Schmitz to Clerk of Court. (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-10-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/10/15 from Attorney Strang to CJ Roggensack, with copy of email re: same from Attorney Strang to Judge Peterson, Judge Nettesheim and counsel. (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-10-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/10/15 from Attorney Wilson to CJ Roggensack (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-10-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/8/15 from Reserve Judge Nettesheim to CJ Roggensack (distributed to participating justices) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-07-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/6/15, from Attorney Strang to Chief Justice Roggensack (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-07-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Email Letter of 8/5/15 from Reserve Judge Peterson to Chief Justice Roggensack and Chief Justice Roggensack's Reply (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-07-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter dated 8/4/15, from Attorney Greim to CJ Roggensack (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court)

OCCD SC 08-04-2015 Motion for Reconsideration

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 8-17-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 12-2-2015

PER CURIUM ORD that the motion for reconsideration and the motion for stay are denied. FRO that the mandate of this court is modified and clarified as set forth above. FRO that Attorney Francis Schmitz shall immediately cease acting as special prosecutor, except that he shall perform the specific tasks imposed on him by the court in this opinion. By the Court. - The motion for reconsideration is denied, the motion for stay is denied, and the mandate is clarified, as described in the opinion.

Ann Walsh Bradley, J., and Rebecca G. Bradley, J., did not participate.

N. Patrick Crooks, J., passed away while these motions were pending and prior to their final resolution by the court.

Motion Response

Filed By: Edward Greim

Submit Date: 8-17-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Michelle Jacobs

Submit Date: 8-17-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Eric Wilson

Submit Date: 8-17-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)

Submit Date: 8-17-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 9-4-2015

Comment: Special Prosecutor's Motion for Reconsideration and Stay of Decisions and Orders with Memorandum in Support and Cover Letter (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 8/6/15 -- Letter Response from Attorney Greim (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 8/13/15-Response of Unnamed Movant #1 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 8/14/15-Response of Unnamed Movant #4 & #5 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 8/14/15-Response of Unnamed Movants #2, #3, #6, #7 & #8 (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 9/4/15-Reply of Special Prosecutor (unredacted and redacted) (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court) 9/4/15-Corrected Exhibit A to Reply of Special Prosecutor (Under Seal Pending Determination of Court).

OCCD SC 07-29-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Separate writing issued by Prosser, J., explaining basis for order denying motion for his recusal; see order of 7/16/15.

OCCD SC 07-24-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Letter to counsel from Shirley S. Abrahamson regarding clarification of July 16, 2015 concurrence/dissent concerning rulings on recusal motions.

OCCD SC 07-16-2015

Cites

363 Wis. 2d 1

OCCD SC 07-16-2015

Cites

866 N.W.2d 165

OCCD SC 07-16-2015

Court Order

Before Michael J. Gableman, J.

ORD that the motions to file non-party amicus briefs and are hereby GRANTED;
FRO that the motion of Francis Schmitz seeking the recusal of Justice Gableman is hereby DENIED.

OCCD SC 07-16-2015

Court Order

Before David T. Prosser, J.

ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED;
FRO that the Motion for Recusal is hereby DENIED. A separate writing will more fully respond to the Motion at a future date.

Additional explanatory writing issued by Prosser, J.; see entry of 7/29/15

OCCD SC 07-16-2015

[Opinion/Decision](#)

Judge Panel: Abrahamson, Bradley, Crooks, Prosser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman

Opinion: Opinion

Decision: Supervisory Writ Denied Pages: 87

Written by: Gableman, Michael J.

Abrahamson, Shirley S. Wrote Concur/Dissent Opinion 170 pages.

Ziegler, Annette K. Joined Concurring Opinion

Ziegler, Annette K. Wrote Concurring Opinion 25 pages.

Crooks, N. Patrick Wrote Concur/Dissent Opinion 41 pages.

Bradley, Ann Walsh Took No Part

Prosser, David T. Jr. Wrote Concurring Opinion 59 pages.

Gableman, Michael J. Joined Concurring Opinion

Roggensack, Patience Drake Joined Concurring Opinion

Order Text: By the Court. Petition for supervisory writ denied and decision affirmed in Three Unnamed Petitioners.

Comment: Roggensack, C.J. (joining Sections IV and V), Ziegler, J. (joining Section IV) and Gableman, J. (joining Section IV)

OCCD SC 07-14-2015

Public Domain Citation

Comment: PDC No: 2015 WI 85

OCCD	SC	06-19-2015	Additional Authorities
Comment: filed by Unnamed Movants #4 and #5			

OCCD	SC	05-13-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Redacted Response Briefs Released to Public File			

OCCD	SC	05-13-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Redacted Reply Briefs of Unnamed Movants Released to Public File			

OCCD	SC	05-13-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Redacted First Briefs of Unnamed Movants Released to Public File			

OCCD	SC	05-11-2015	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Clerk's Letter to Parties re: release of documents to the public			

OCCD	SC	05-07-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter of Special Prosecutor Regarding Movants' 1, 6 and 7 Revised Redactions and Mootness of Previous Objections (filed by F. Schmitz).			

OCCD	SC	04-30-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter of Unnamed Movants Regarding the Special Prosecutor's Corrected Redactions and Mootness of Their Objections (filed by J. Barton).			

OCCD	SC	04-28-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Special Prosecutor's redacted versions of letters originally filed on 3/31/15 and 4/3/15 with cover letter per 4/17/15 order.			

OCCD	SC	04-23-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movants #6's and #7's redacted version of letter filed 4/8/15 and revised redacted version of Petition for Leave to Commence an Original Action Seeking Declaratory Judgment and Other Relief (Sealed per 3/27/15 CTO).			

OCCD	SC	04-23-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Special Prosecutors revised redacted versions of documents, filed in response to Unnamed Movants' Joint Objections to Special Prosecutor's Corrected Redactions (Sealed per 3/27/15 CTO).			

OCCD	SC	04-23-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movant #1's corrected revised redactions of: (1) Responsive Memorandum in Opposition to Special Prosecutor's Petition for Supervisory Writ; (2) Petition to Bypass the Court of Appeals; and (3) Redacted Opening Brief (Sealed per 3/27/15 CTO).			

OCCD	SC	04-20-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Redacted version of Special Prosecutors Response in Support of GAB Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief and Opposing Unnamed Movant #2's Opposition to Said Motion. (Sealed per 3/27/15			

CTO).

OCCD SC 04-17-2015 Other Papers

Comment: New Revised Redacted Respondent Special Prosecutor's Consolidated Response to Redactions of Unnamed Movants; and, Respondent Special Prosecutor's Consolidated Response to Redactions of Unnamed Movants. (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-17-2015 Court Order

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Unnamed Movant #6, Unnamed Movant #7, and Special Prosecutor Schmitz shall, within seven calendar days, file redacted versions of the above-described filings consistent with the foregoing instructions. The redacted versions shall be subject to the 10-day objection procedure set forth in the courts March 27, 2015 order regarding redactions.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., did not participate.

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, C.J. (dissenting). I repeat what I wrote in a redaction order issued April 1, 2015: The court continues to direct the parties to redact too much information from their public filings and therefore continues to fail to keep the public adequately informed about the issues and arguments in these John Doe cases. For a full discussion of the reasons for my views, please see my dissents to the three orders issued on March 27, 2015.

OCCD SC 04-16-2015 Other Papers

Comment: CORRECTED Revised Redacted Documents of Unnamed Movant #2 and a Replacement for the Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #2. (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-15-2015 Other Papers

Comment: CORRECTED Revised Redacted Response of Unnamed Movant #1 to Special Prosecutor's Motion for Recusal (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-13-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Joint Objections of Unnamed Movants to Revised Redacted Filings of the Special Prosecutor pursuant to 3/27/2015 Order (filed by Atty Barton)

OCCD SC 04-08-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Renewal of Objection by Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 to all non-party briefs; SEALED [unredacted] (filed by Attorney Bresnick)

OCCD SC 04-06-2015 Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Amicus Brief of the Wyoming Liberty Group](#)

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz

Comment: Revised Brief of Wyoming Liberty Group

OCCD SC 04-06-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Unnamed Movant #3 Regarding Release or Redaction of Previously Filed Documents

OCCD SC 04-06-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Letter and Statement of Unnamed Movant #3 Regarding Release or Redaction of Briefs

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Unnamed Movant #8 Regarding Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed or Unsealing of Previously Filed Documents			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Certificate of Service from Movant #8 Regarding Revised Redacted Brief and Previously Filed Documents			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movant #8
Filed By: Jeffrey Morgan			
Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #8 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 Regarding Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed or Unsealing of Previously Filed Documents			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #7
Filed By: Dean Strang			
Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #7 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movant #7
Filed By: Dean Strang			
Comment: Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #7 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #6
Filed By: Dennis Coffey			
Comment: Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #6 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movant #6
Filed By: Dennis Coffey			
Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #6 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 Regarding Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed or Unsealing of Previously Filed Documents			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movants #4 & #5
Filed By: Eric Wilson			
Comment: Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)			

OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movants #4 & #5
Filed By: Eric Wilson			Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movant #3
Filed By: James Barton			Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #3 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Other Papers Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Unnamed Movant #2 Regarding Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed or Unsealing of Previously Filed Documents
OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #2
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)			Comment: Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #2 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-06-2015	First Brief-Supreme Court Corrected Redacted First Brief Unnamed Movant #2
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)			Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #2 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-03-2015	Other Papers Comment: Response of Special Prosecutor in Support of GAB Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief and Opposing Unnamed Movant #2's Opposition to Said Motion w/ cover letter from Atty Schmitz.
OCCD	SC	04-03-2015	Separate Appendix Filed By: Francis Schmitz Comment: Revised Redacted Appendix (Vol I and II) of Special Prosecutor (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-03-2015	Response Brief-Supreme Court Corrected Redacted Response Brief of Special Prosecutor
Filed By: Francis Schmitz			Comment: Revised Redacted Response Brief of Special Prosecutor (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)
OCCD	SC	04-03-2015	Response Brief-Supreme Court Filed By: Francis Schmitz Comment: Corrected Original Response Brief of Special Prosecutor (SEALED)
OCCD	SC	04-03-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #1
Filed By: Steven Biskupic			Comment: Revised Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #1 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-03-2015

First Brief-Supreme Court

[Corrected Revised Redacted First Brief of Unnamed Movant #1](#)

Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #1 (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-03-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement Submission of Special Prosecutor Regarding Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-03-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Revised Redacted Documents of Special Prosecutor: Motion for Recusal and Notice of Ethical Concerns Related to SCR 60.03 and 60.04; Affidavit of the Special Prosecutor (SEALED per 3/27/15 CTO)

OCCD SC 04-03-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement of Unnamed Movant #1 Regarding Release of Revised Redacted Documents Previously Filed or Unsealing of Previously Filed Documents.

OCCD SC 03-31-2015

Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter Response of Special Prosecutor to Unnamed Movant #2 and Unnamed Movants 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 Letters re: Oral Argument (sealed per 12/16/14 CTO).

OCCD SC 03-30-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement of John Doe Judge and Chief Judges Regarding Release or Redaction of Documents. (filed by Attorney Rice)

OCCD SC 03-27-2015

Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that that this matter shall be removed from the court's April oral argument calendar and submitted to the court on the merits of the parties' written briefs.
BRADLEY, J., did not participate.
ABRAHAMSON, C.J., dissenting.
Prosser, J., dissenting.

OCCD SC 03-27-2015

Court Order

ORD the objection of the special prosecutor to the redacted versions of unnamed movants' briefs-in-chief is denied; and FRO the objection of Unnamed Movants ##1, 6, and 7 to redacted version of special prosecutor's recusal motion is granted; and FRO the motion of Unnamed Movants ##4 and 5 to file a superseding brief is denied, they shall file a redacted version; and FRO on or before April 6, 2015, for all briefs and other filings under seal, parties must file either a written statement or revised redacted version complying with John Doe secrecy orders (as outlined in written order). All parties shall have 10 calendar days after filing to file a written objection (outlined in written order); and FRO any redacted version of a document for which a revised redacted version is filed, the clerk of this court shall maintain the first redacted version under seal pending further order of this court (outlined in written order); and FRO all future briefs and other documents that are filed in this proceeding, the filing party shall comply with the provisions of the December 16, 2014 order.
BRADLEY, J., did not participate.
ABRAHAMSON, C.J., dissenting.

OCCD SC 03-25-2015

Other Papers

Comment: Unnamed Movant #3's Adoption of the Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Response Brief and Appendices filed 3/5/15 (filed by Atty Barton)

OCCD SC 03-25-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Unnamed Movant #8 Adoption of Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Response Brief and Appendices filed 3/5/15, and Additional Proposed Redactions of Unnamed Movant #6 & #7 (filed by Atty Morgan)

OCCD SC 03-25-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: re: Oral Argument from Unnamed Movant #2 (filed by Atty O'Neill) - SEALED

OCCD SC 03-25-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Objection of Unnamed Movants #6 & #7 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Response Brief and Appendices filed 3/5/15 (filed by Atty O'Beirne) - Redacted

OCCD SC 03-25-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Objection of Unnamed Movants #6 & #7 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Response Brief and Appendices filed 3/5/15 (filed by Atty O'Beirne) - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD SC 03-24-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter re: Oral Argument from Unnamed Movants Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 (filed by Atty Strang) - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD SC 03-24-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter re: Oral Argument from Unnamed Movants Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 (filed by Atty Strang) - Redacted - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO

OCCD SC 03-20-2015 Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Amicus Brief of the James Madison Center for Free Speech](#)

Filed By: Michael Dean

Comment: James Madison Center for Free Speech

OCCD SC 03-20-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Response Brief and Appendices filed March 5, 2015 (SEALED)

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Amicus Brief of the Ethics and Public Policy Center](#)

Filed By: James Troupis

Comment: Ethics and Public Policy Center

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Amicus Brief of Former Members of the Federal Election Commission](#)

Filed By: James Troupis

Comment: Former Members of the Federal Election Commission

OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Fax letter clarification of motions for Pro Hac Vice of Attorney James Bopp, Jr. representing two separate clients - filed by Atty Dean.			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Certificate of Service
Comment: Certificate of Service of Motion to file Amicus Brief by Madison Center filed by Atty Dean			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
<p>Filed By: Michael Dean</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-19-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.</p> <p>Comment: Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae by the James Madison Center for Free Speech ("Madison Center")</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
<p>Filed By: Michael Dean</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-19-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.</p> <p>Comment: Motion by Attorney Michael Dean for Admission of Attorney James Bopp, Jr., Pro Hac Vice, Proposed Order Granting Motion for Admission of Attorney James Bopp, Jr. Pro Hac Vice, Cover letter to Office of Lawyer Regulation, Application of Non-Resident Attorney James Bopp, Jr. for Admission Pro Hac Vice</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Certificate of Service
Comment: filed by the Amicus Curiae Wyoming Liberty Group			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
<p>Filed By: Matthew Fernholz</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-19-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.</p> <p>Comment: Motion by Attorney Matthew M. Fernholz for Admission of Attorney Stephen R. Klein, Pro Hac Vice, Affidavit of Attorney Stephen R. Klein, Cover letter to Office of Lawyer Regulation, Application of Attorney Stephen R. Klein for Admission Pro Hac Vice, Proposed Order for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Stephen R. Klein.</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
<p>Filed By: Matthew Fernholz</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-19-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.</p> <p>Comment: Motion by Attorney Matthew M. Fernholz for Admission of Attorney Benjamin Barr, Pro Hac Vice, Affidavit of Attorney Benjamin Barr, Cover letter to Office of Lawyer Regulation, Application of</p>			

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: Matthew Fernholz
Submit Date: 3-19-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.
Motion Response
Filed By: Matthew Fernholz
Submit Date: 4-7-2015
Comment: Motion of the Wyoming Liberty Group 4/6/15 - Request to File Revised Amicus Brief of Wyoming Liberty Group

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movant #3 adoption letter by reference the arguments, authorities and objections to the lack of redactions set forth in the Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Previous Filings and February 26, 2015 Statement.

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Eric Wilson
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: James Barton
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #3 - Original [unredacted brief] - SEALED

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
[Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #3 REDACTED](#)
Filed By: James Barton
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #3 - Redacted Brief - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #2 - Original [unredacted brief] - SEALED

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #2 - Redacted Brief - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Letter Notice of Apparent Violation of Supreme Court Order and John Doe Secrecy Order filed by Special Prosecutor Schmitz

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Cover Letter Enclosing Notice of Apparent Violation of Supreme Court Order and John Doe Secrecy Order filed by Special Prosecutor Schmitz

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: James Troupis
Submit Date: 3-19-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.
Comment: Motion of the Ethics and Public Policy Center

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Nathan Judnic
Submit Date: 3-19-2015
Decision: (O) Other
Decision Date: 3-27-2015
Resolved by order of 3-27-15 re: redaction.
Comment: Motion to File GAB Amicus Curiae Brief Under Seal. Resolved by order of 3-27-15 re: redaction.

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: Nathan Judnic
Submit Date: 3-19-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.
Motion Response
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Submit Date: 3-25-2015
Motion Response
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 4-6-2015
Comment: Motion of Wisconsin Government Accountability Board 3/25/15: Response of Unnamed Movant #2 (SEALED) 4/3/15 Special Prosecutor's Response in Support of GAB Amicus Motion (SEALED per 3-27-15 CTO)

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movant #8's adoption letter of the Original Response Brief of Unnamed Movant #6 and #7 to Special Prosecutor's Objections Regarding Redactions; Unnamed Movant #1's Statement Regarding Redactions for Previously Filed Documents; Proposed Redactions for Unnamed Movant #1's Petition to Bypass the Court of Appeals; and Proposed Redactions for Unnamed Movant #1's Response of Unnamed Movant #1. (Filed by Attorney Jeffrey Morgan)

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Unnamed Movant #8's adoption letter of the Original Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #1; the Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #1; the Original Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #2; the Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #2; the Original Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #4 and #5; Original Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #7; and the Redacted Reply Brief of Unnamed Movant #7. (Filed by Attorney Jeffrey Morgan)

OCCD SC 03-19-2015 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dean Strang
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #7 - Original [unredacted brief] - SEALED

OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dennis Coffey			
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #6 - Original [unredacted brief] - SEALED			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dennis Coffey			
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #6 - Redacted Brief - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dean Strang			
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #7 - Redacted Brief - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Previously Filed Documents and February 26, 2015 Statement. - SEALED (Filed by Attorney Michelle Jacobs)			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Michelle Jacobs			
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #1 - Redacted Brief - Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: James Troupis			
Submit Date: 3-19-2015			
Decision: (G) Grant			
Decision Date: 7-16-2015			
ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.			
Comment: Motion of Former Federal Election Commission Members Lee Ann Elliott, David Mason, Hans von Spakovsky and Darryl Wold for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae			
OCCD	SC	03-19-2015	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Michelle Jacobs			
Comment: Reply of Unnamed Movant #1 - Original [unredacted brief] - SEALED			
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Brief of Amicus Curiae
Amicus Brief of the Hon. Bradley A. Smith, Center for Competitive Politics, and Wisconsin Family Action			
Filed By: Richard Esenberg			
Comment: Hon. Bradley A. Smith, Center for Competitive Politics, AND Wisconsin Family Action			
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Paul Ferguson			
Submit Date: 3-18-2015			
Decision: (G) Grant			
Decision Date: 7-16-2015			
Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.			
Comment: Motion by Paul M. Ferguson for Admission of Adam J. White Pro Hac Vice; Copy of Application for Pro Hac Vice of White			

OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
			<p>Filed By: Richard Esenberg</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-18-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.</p> <p>Comment: Motion of Hon. Bradley A. Smith, Center for Competitive Politics and Wisconsin Family Action for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Other Papers
			<p>Comment: Letter of Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 adopting the Objections of Unnamed Movant #1 to Redactions in Special Prosecutor's Previous Filings and February 26, 2015 Statement, which is dated March 16, 2015. - SEALED (Filed by Attorney Dean Strang)</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Other Papers
			<p>Comment: Proof of Service in Cover Letter to Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 Opposition to ALL Non-Party Briefs (Filed by Attorney Dean Strang)</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Other Papers
			<p>Comment: Opposition of Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 to ALL Non-Party Briefs; SEALED [unredacted] (Filed by Attorney Dean Strang)</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Other Papers
			<p>Comment: Opposition of Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 to ALL Non-Party Briefs - Redacted; SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO (Filed by Attorney Dean Strang)</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Other Papers
			<p>Comment: Affidavit of Mailing of Pro Hac Vice Motion for Attorneys Cipollone, Gilmore and Nettesheim (Filed by Attorney Dennis Coffey)</p>
OCCD	SC	03-18-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
			<p>Filed By: Dennis Coffey</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-18-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.</p> <p>Comment: Motion by Attorney Dennis Coffey for Admission of Attorneys Pat A. Cipollone, Robert Gilmore and Margaret Nettesheim Pro Hac Vice; Copies of Applications for Pro Hac Vice of Cipollone, Gilmore and Nettesheim</p>
OCCD	SC	03-17-2015	Brief of Amicus Curiae
			<p>Amicus Brief of Campaign Legal Center, Democracy 21, Common Cause in Wisconsin and League of Women Voters of Wisconsin</p> <p>Filed By: Susan Crawford</p> <p>Comment: Campaign Legal Center, Democracy 21, Common Cause in Wisconsin and League of Women Voters of Wisconsin</p>

OCCD	SC	03-17-2015	Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Correspondence from Attorney Eric Wilson re: Wisconsin Eye Coverage and Proposal in Joint Report on Oral Argument			
OCCD	SC	03-17-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: Susan Crawford Submit Date: 3-18-2015 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 7-16-2015 ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED. Comment: Motion of Campaign Legal Center, Democracy 21, Common Cause in Wisconsin and League of Women Voters of Wisconsin for Leave to File Brief of Amicus Curiae			
OCCD	SC	03-16-2015	Brief of Amicus Curiae Amicus Brief of Citizens for Responsible Government Advocates, Inc.
Filed By: Christopher Meuler Comment: Citizens for Responsible Government Advocates, Inc.			
OCCD	SC	03-16-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: Christopher Meuler Submit Date: 3-18-2015 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 7-16-2015 ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED. Comment: Motion of Citizens for Responsible Government Advocates, Inc. for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae			
OCCD	SC	03-13-2015	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz Submit Date: 3-18-2015 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 3-31-2015 ORD that the motion is granted, and the special prosecutor shall serve and file his corrected brief on or before April 6, 2015. FRO that along with the corrected original (unredacted) response brief, the special prosecutor shall serve and file a redacted version of the corrected response brief that complies with the courts order of March 27, 2015, regarding objections to proposed redactions. Bradley, J., did not participate. Comment: Special Prosecutor's Motion to File a Corrected Response Brief w/ Exhibit 1 attached (SEALED)			
OCCD	SC	03-13-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Respondent Judges' Objection to Paragraph 3 of the Joint Report; filed by Attorney David Rice (SEALED)			
OCCD	SC	03-11-2015	Brief of Amicus Curiae Amicus Brief of Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc.
Filed By: Michael Dean Comment: Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc.			

OCCD SC 03-11-2015 Other Papers
Comment: Joint Report on Oral Argument with attached proposed order

OCCD SC 03-11-2015 Motion to Intervene
Filed By: Steven Mandell
Submit Date: 3-11-2015
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 3-27-2015
IT IS ORDERED that the motion to intervene is denied.
BRADLEY, J., did not participate.
ABRAHAMSON, C.J., dissenting.
Comment: of the Journal Sentinel, Inc. with attached Memorandum of Law

OCCD SC 03-10-2015 Certificate of Service
Comment: by Attorney Michael D. Dean

OCCD SC 03-10-2015 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
Filed By: Michael Dean
Submit Date: 3-10-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.
Comment: Motion of Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. (WRTL) for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae - rec'd original in 3/11/15 mail

OCCD SC 03-10-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Michael Dean
Submit Date: 3-10-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.
Comment: Motion by Attorney Michael Dean for Admission of Attorney Randy Elf, Pro Hac Vice, Proposed Order Granting Motion for Admission of Attorney Randy Elf Pro Hac Vice, Cover letter to Office of Lawyer Regulation, Application of Non-Resident Attorney Randy Elf for Admission Pro Hac Vice - rec'd original in 3/11/15 mail

OCCD SC 03-10-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Michael Dean
Submit Date: 3-10-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-16-2015
Granted by the court's opinion and orders of July 16, 2015.
Comment: Motion by Attorney Michael Dean for Admission of Attorney James Bopp, Jr., Pro Hac Vice, Proposed Order Granting Motion for Admission of Attorney James Bopp, Jr. Pro Hac Vice, Cover letter to Office of Lawyer Regulation, Application of Non-Resident Attorney James Bopp, Jr. for Admission Pro Hac Vice - rec'd original in mail with 3/11/15 mail

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Separate Appendix
Filed By: David Rice
Comment: Gregory Peterson, Jeffrey Kremers, James Daley, James Duvall, and Gregory Potter -

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Separate Appendix
Filed By: David Rice
Comment: Gregory Peterson, Jeffrey Kremers, James Daley, James Duvall, and Gregory Potter - Appendix - Original [unredacted] - (SEALED) Appendix of the Respondent Judges REDACTED

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 3-5-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 4-1-2015
IT IS ORDERED that the special prosecutors motion to allow him to serve upon the Unnamed Movants only Volumes I and II, and not Volumes III and IV, of the original and redacted appendix to the special prosecutors response brief is granted. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the special prosecutor shall file and serve revised redacted copies of his response brief and Volumes I and II of the related appendix that comply with the courts order of March 27, 2015, regarding objections to proposed redactions. BRADLEY, J., did not participate. ABRAHAMSON, C.J. dissenting.
Comment: Motion to limit service of appendix - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Separate Appendix
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Comment: Appendix - Redacted - Volumes I and II (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Separate Appendix
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Comment: Appendix - Original [unredacted] - Volumes I through IV - (SEALED) Appendix of the Special Prosecutor (Final) REDACTED

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Response Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Comment: Special Prosecutor's Redacted Brief - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Response Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Comment: Special Prosecutor's Original [unredacted] Brief - (SEALED)

OCCD SC 03-05-2015 Response Brief-Supreme Court
[Response Brief of Judges\(released by CTO 3/27/15\)](#)
Filed By: David Rice
Comment: Gregory Peterson, Jeffrey Kremers, James Daley, James Duvall, and Gregory Potter - Original [un-redacted] Brief - (SEALED)

OCCD SC 03-04-2015 Response
Comment: Response of Unnamed Movant #3 to Special Prosecutor's 2/24/15 Objection to Redactions Proposed in Unnamed Movants' Briefs - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD	SC	03-04-2015	Response
Comment: Response of Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 to Special Prosecutor's 2/24/15 Objection to Redactions Proposed in Unnamed Movants' Briefs - Original [unredacted] SEALED			
OCCD	SC	03-04-2015	Response
Comment: Response of Unnamed Movant #2 to Special Prosecutor's 2/24/15 Objection to Redactions Proposed in Unnamed Movants' Briefs - Original [unredacted] SEALED			
OCCD	SC	03-04-2015	Court Order
<p>IT IS ORDERED that, on or before March 11, 2015, the parties shall meet and confer (whether in person, telephonically, or otherwise) and shall file a joint written report regarding the manner in which oral argument in these matters should be conducted. The parties are encouraged to reach agreement on as many points as possible and to state in their joint report where they have reached agreement. If the parties are unable to reach an agreement on a particular point, they shall briefly set forth their respective positions and the primary reasons for those positions in the joint report.</p> <p>IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the meet and confer and the joint written report shall address (topics outlined in number 1-7 of the written order).</p> <p>ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., did not participate.</p> <p>PATIENCE DRAKE ROGGENSACK, J., concurs and would have the parties address only those items set forth in the paragraphs numbered 1 and 2 above.</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-04-2015	Response
Comment: Response of Unnamed Movant #1 to Special Prosecutor's 2/24/15 Objection to Redactions Proposed in Unnamed Movants' Briefs - Original [unredacted] SEALED			
OCCD	SC	03-02-2015	Brief of Amicus Curiae Amicus Brief of Professors of Legal Ethics
<p>Filed By: Thomas Cannon</p> <p>Comment: Professors of Legal Ethics</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-02-2015	Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief
<p>Filed By: Thomas Cannon</p> <p>Submit Date: 3-2-2015</p> <p>Decision: (G) Grant</p> <p>Decision Date: 7-16-2015</p> <p>ORD that the motions for leave to file nonparty briefs are hereby GRANTED.</p> <p>Comment: Motion of Professors of Legal Ethics for Leave to File Non-Party Brief in Support of Neither Party filed by Thomas R. Cannon</p>			
OCCD	SC	02-27-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Special Prosecutors Statement Regarding Sealed Documents in Appellate Records-REDACTED SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO			
OCCD	SC	02-27-2015	Other Papers
Comment: Letter Statement of Unnamed Movants #3 Regarding Release or Redaction of Documents SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO			
OCCD	SC	02-27-2015	Other Papers

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Special Prosecutors Statement Regarding Sealed Documents in Appellate Records per 12/16/14 CTO SEALED ORIGINAL

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement of Unnamed Movant #8 Regarding Release or Redaction of Documents SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement of Unnamed Movants #6 & #7 Regarding Release or Redaction of Documents SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Redacted Version of Brief of Unnamed Movants #4 & #5 filed SC on 2/2/2015 SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Redacted Version of Letter Motion of Unnamed Movants #4 & #5 to File a Superseding Brief filed in SC 2/24/2015 SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Letter Statement of Unnamed Movant #4 & #5 Regarding Release of Redaction of Documents SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Certificate of Service

Comment: Certificate of Service to Redacted Documents filed by Special Prosecutor Schmitz

OCCD SC 02-27-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Cover Letter to Redacted Documents filed by Special Prosecutor Schmitz

OCCD SC 02-26-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Unnamed Movant #1's Statement Regarding Redactions for Previously Filed Documents filed by Biskupic & Jacobs SEALED per CTO 12/16/2014

OCCD SC 02-26-2015 Response

Comment: Redacted version of the Motion for Modification filed on February 19, 2015 by Special Prosecutor Schmitz - in compliance with the court's February 20, 2015 order.

OCCD SC 02-25-2015 Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that any response to the special prosecutor's objection shall be filed by March 4, 2015; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the terms of this court's December 16, 2014 order, the redacted versions of the Unnamed Movants' briefs-in-chief shall remain under seal until such time as the court rules on the special prosecutor's objection and issues a written order directing the clerk of

this court to place redacted versions of the briefs into the public court file.
Bradley, J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 02-24-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Eric Wilson
Submit Date: 2-24-2015
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 3-27-2015

ORD the objection of the special prosecutor to the redacted versions of briefs-in-chief is denied; and FRO the objection of Unnamed Movants ##1, 6, and 7 to redacted version of special prosecutor's recusal motion is granted; and FRO the motion of Unnamed Movants ##4 and 5 to file a superseding brief is denied, they shall file a redacted version; and FRO all briefs and other filings under seal, parties must file redacted version; and FRO on or before April 6, 2015, each party shall either file a written statement or file a revised redacted version (outlined in written order). All parties shall have 10 calendar days after the filing to file a written objection (outlined in written order); and FRO any redacted version of a document for which a revised redacted version is filed, the clerk of this court shall maintain the first redacted version under seal pending further order of this court (outlined in written order); and FRO all future briefs and other documents that are filed in this proceeding, the filing party shall comply with the provisions of the December 16, 2014 order.

BRADLEY, J., did not participate.

ABRAHAMSON, C.J., dissenting.

Comment: Letter Motion of Unnamed Movants #4 & #5 to file a superseding brief to replace initial brief filed on February 2, 2015. SEALED per 12/16/14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-24-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: David Rice
Submit Date: 2-24-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 2-25-2015

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. Therefore, and consistent with this court's December 16, 2014 order, the record for purposes of the proceedings in this court shall be comprised of the record in Milwaukee County Case No. 2012JD23, the record in Dane County Case No. 2013D9, and the orders attached to the motion described herein.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: Motion of Gregory Peterson, Jeffrey Kremers, James Daley, James Duvall, and Gregory Potter to Supplement the Record -- Original [unredacted] -- SEALED.

OCCD SC 02-24-2015 Certificate of Service

Comment: Special Prosecutor's Objection to Redacted Documents Pursuant to Order of 12-16-14

OCCD SC 02-24-2015 Other Papers

Comment: Special Prosecutor's Objection to Redacted Documents Pursuant to Order of 12-16-14 - Original [unredacted] SEALED See CTO 2/25/15

OCCD SC 02-23-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter of Special Prosecutor re: Correction to Statutory Citation in Motion for Recusal - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD SC 02-23-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court

Filed By: James Barton

Comment: Revised Redacted Brief of Unnamed Movant #3 to Supersede Redacted Brief Filed 2/2/15 -

OCCD SC 02-19-2015 Certificate of Service
Comment: Unnamed Movant #8's Response to Motion for Recusal

OCCD SC 02-19-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Jeffrey Morgan
Submit Date: 2-19-2015
Decision: (O) Other
Decision Date: 3-27-2015
Resolved by order of 3-27-15 re: redaction.
Comment: Motion to file under seal the response of Unnamed Movant #8 to the motion for recusal - SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO. Resolved by order of 3-27-15 re: redaction.

OCCD SC 02-19-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 2-19-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 2-20-2015
ORD that the motion is granted, and the length of the special prosecutors response brief to be filed in these matters shall not exceed 55,000 words if a proportional font is used; and
FRO that, notwithstanding Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.14(3), Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and Chief Judges Gregory J. Potter, James P. Daley, James J. Duvall, and Jeffrey A. Kremers must file any response brief(s) in this court on or before March 5, 2015; and that within 10 days of filing the Three Unnamed Petitioners in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W, the Two Unnamed Petitioners in Case No. 2014AP296-OA, and the Unnamed Movants in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W (collectively, the Unnamed Movants) must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and
FRO that the original (unredacted) and the redacted versions of the special prosecutors motion filed on February 19, 2015, shall be maintained under seal by the clerk of this court pending further order of the court; and
FRO that on or before February 25, 2015, the special prosecutor shall file a new version of the redacted copy of his motion, in which the information contained in the parentheses on page four of the motion shall have been redacted. Upon reviewing the new redacted copy of the brief to ensure that the proper redactions have been made pursuant to this paragraph, the clerk of this court shall place the new redacted version of the special prosecutors motion into the public court files for these matters.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: Motion for Modification of December 16, 2014 Order as it Relates to the Length of Special Prosecutor's Response Brief - Redacted - SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO

OCCD SC 02-19-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 2-19-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 2-20-2015
ORD that the motion is granted, and the length of the special prosecutors response brief to be filed in these matters shall not exceed 55,000 words if a proportional font is used; and
FRO that, notwithstanding Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.14(3), Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and Chief Judges Gregory J. Potter, James P. Daley, James J. Duvall, and Jeffrey A. Kremers must file any response brief(s) in this court on or before March 5, 2015; and that within 10 days of filing the Three Unnamed Petitioners in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W, the Two

Unnamed Petitioners in Case No. 2014AP296-OA, and the Unnamed Movants in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W (collectively, the Unnamed Movants) must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and
FRO that the original (unredacted) and the redacted versions of the special prosecutors motion filed on February 19, 2015, shall be maintained under seal by the clerk of this court pending further order of the court; and
FRO that on or before February 25, 2015, the special prosecutor shall file a new version of the redacted copy of his motion, in which the information contained in the parentheses on page four of the motion shall have been redacted. Upon reviewing the new redacted copy of the brief to ensure that the proper redactions have been made pursuant to this paragraph, the clerk of this court shall place the new redacted version of the special prosecutors motion into the public court files for these matters.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: Motion for Modification of December 16, 2014 Order as it Relates to the Length of Special Prosecutor's Response Brief - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD SC 02-12-2015 Certificate of Service

Comment: Motion for Recusal and Notice of Ethical Concerns Related to SCR 60.03 and SCR 60.04 (original and redacted versions) and Affidavit of Special Prosecutor Schmitz

OCCD SC 02-12-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 2-12-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 7-16-2015

Per Order of Prosser, J.: FRO that the Motion for Recusal is hereby DENIED. A separate writing will more fully respond to the Motion at a future date.

Per order of Gableman, J.: FRO that the motion of Francis Schmitz seeking the recusal of Justice Gableman is hereby DENIED.

Motion Response

Filed By: Jeffrey Morgan

Submit Date: 2-19-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Steven Biskupic

Submit Date: 2-20-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 2-23-2015

Comment: Motion for Recusal and Notice of Ethical Concerns Related to SCR 60.03 and SCR 60.04 and Affidavit of Special Prosecutor - Original [unredacted] - SEALED; 2/19/15 - Response by Unnamed Movant #8- Original [unredacted] - SEALED; 2/20/15 - Response by Unnamed Movant #1 Original [unredacted] - SEALED; 2/23/2015 - Letter from Special Prosecutor Re: Correction to Statutory Citation in Motion - Original [unredacted] SEALED

OCCD SC 02-11-2015 Assigned-Oral Argument

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 Separate Appendix

Filed By: Eric Wilson

Comment: Unnamed Movants # 4 and #5 -Joint Appendix - Redacted - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 Separate Appendix

Filed By: Eric Wilson
Comment: Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 -Joint Appendix - Original [un-redacted] - SEALED --Volumes I and II Joint Appendix of Unnamed Movant #4 & #5 REDACTED

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Eric Wilson
Comment: Unnamed Movants #4 and #5 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Comment: Unnamed Movant #2 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)
Comment: Unnamed Movant #2 - Redacted Brief - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: James Barton
Comment: Unnamed Movant #3 - Redacted Brief - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: James Barton
Comment: Unnamed Movant #3 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Jeffrey Morgan
Comment: Unnamed Movant #8 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 02-02-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Jeffrey Morgan
Comment: Unnamed Movant #8 - Redacted Brief- (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Steven Biskupic
Comment: Unnamed Movant #1 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dennis Coffey
Comment: Unnamed Movant #6 - Redacted Brief - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dennis Coffey
Comment: Unnamed Movant #6 - Original [un-redacted] Brief - SEALED

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 Separate Appendix

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Steven Biskupic
Comment: Unnamed Movant #1 - Redacted Brief- (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 Separate Appendix
Comment: Unnamed Movants #6 and #7 - Joint Redacted Appendix - (SEALED per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dean Strang
Comment: Unnamed Movant #7 - Original [un-redacted] - SEALED

OCCD SC 01-30-2015 First Brief-Supreme Court
Filed By: Dean Strang
Comment: Unnamed Movant #7 - Redacted Brief - (Sealed per 12-16-14 CTO)

OCCD SC 01-27-2015 Court Order
IT IS ORDERED that the parties are directed to place the case captions and case numbers of all three proceedings identified above on the cover of each brief filed in this court. The clerk of this court shall file each brief in the case file of each of the three proceedings.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., did not participate.
SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, C.J. (concurring). I do not object to having the case captions and case numbers of all three proceedings on the parties brief covers. I write separately to note that, unfortunately, the court fails to acknowledge that these cases have been consolidated only for purposes of briefing and argument and that they remain separate proceedings in this court. The court sua sponte cannot add parties to any of the proceedings now before this court without proper motions to intervene, responses, and formal orders granting intervention none of which has occurred. Consequently, regardless of what captions and case numbers are placed on the brief covers, my position is that the parties should still include the following information in all of their briefs:

- a) The identity of the individual or entity on whose behalf the brief is being filed;
 - b) The case name and number of each case in which that individual or entity has previously formally appeared; and
 - c) What issues that individual or entity is addressing and where those issues were previously raised in that individuals or entitys previous filings in one or more of the three proceedings.
-

OCCD SC 01-15-2015 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 1-15-2015
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 1-21-2015
ORD that the motion to supplement is denied; and FRO that the sealed document attached to the motion to supplement as Exhibit 1 shall be maintained by the clerk of this court under seal; and FRO that Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitzs motion to seal is granted and his response to the motion to supplement shall be maintained by the clerk of this court under seal; and FRO that a redacted copy of Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitzs response to the motion to supplement shall be filed on or before February 27, 2015, in conformity with the paragraph that spans pages 5-6 of this courts order of December 16, 2014. The parties and this court shall then follow the redaction review/objection procedure set forth in that paragraph of the December 16, 2014 order; and FRO that, notwithstanding

Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.14(3), the Three Unnamed Petitioners in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W, the Two Unnamed Petitioners in Case No. 2014AP296-OA, and the Unnamed Movants in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W (collectively, the Unnamed Movants) must file their opening brief(s) in this court on or before February 2, 2015; that within 30 days of filing Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and Chief Judges Gregory Potter, James Daley, James Duvall and Jeffrey Kremers, must file either a response brief or a statement that no response brief will be filed; and that if a response brief is filed by Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and/or Chief Judges Gregory Potter, James Daley, James Duvall and Jeffrey Kremers, within 10 days of filing the Unnamed Movants must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Prosser, J., dissents.

Comment: Motion to Seal Response to Motion to Supplement filed by Atty Schmitz

OCCD SC 01-12-2015 Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that any response to the motion to supplement the record shall be filed no later than noon on Thursday, January 15, 2015.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 01-08-2015 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: from Clerk to Parties re: Identifying Filings

OCCD SC 01-07-2015 Motion to Correct/Supplement Record

Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)

Submit Date: 1-8-2015

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-21-2015

ORD that the motion to supplement is denied; and FRO that the sealed document attached to the motion to supplement as Exhibit 1 shall be maintained by the clerk of this court under seal; and FRO that Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz's motion to seal is granted and his response to the motion to supplement shall be maintained by the clerk of this court under seal; and FRO that a redacted copy of Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz's response to the motion to supplement shall be filed on or before February 27, 2015, in conformity with the paragraph that spans pages 5-6 of this court's order of December 16, 2014. The parties and this court shall then follow the redaction review/objection procedure set forth in that paragraph of the December 16, 2014 order; and FRO that, notwithstanding Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.14(3), the Three Unnamed Petitioners in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W, the Two Unnamed Petitioners in Case No. 2014AP296-OA, and the Unnamed Movants in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W (collectively, the Unnamed Movants) must file their opening brief(s) in this court on or before February 2, 2015; that within 30 days of filing Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and Chief Judges Gregory Potter, James Daley, James Duvall and Jeffrey Kremers, must file either a response brief or a statement that no response brief will be filed; and that if a response brief is filed by Special Prosecutor Francis A. Schmitz, John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson, and/or Chief Judges Gregory Potter, James Daley, James Duvall and Jeffrey Kremers, within 10 days of filing the Unnamed Movants must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Prosser, J., dissents.

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 1-15-2015

Motion Response

Filed By: 23280 (O'Neill, Matthew)

Submit Date: 1-16-2015

Comment: Motion to Supplement the Record w/ Sealed Exhibit 1 Response to Motion to Supplement w/certificate of service filed by Atty Schmitz (SEALED) Reply brief filed in support of motion to supplement record filed by Atty O'Neill

OCCD SC 01-07-2015 Motion for Clarification

Filed By: James Barton

Submit Date: 1-8-2015

Decision: (O) Other

Decision Date: 1-13-2015

ORD that each Unnamed Movant shall be permitted to reference and incorporate factual recitations and arguments contained in the briefs of other Unnamed Movants. The Unnamed Movants are encouraged, but not required, to file joint briefs with other Unnamed Movants if possible (i.e., if their factual recitations and arguments are aligned in full with certain other Unnamed Movants).

FRO that for each brief-in-chief or reply brief, the parties to these proceedings shall separately file an electronic copy of their original, unredacted brief and an electronic copy of the redacted version of their brief. The electronic copy of the original, unredacted brief shall be uploaded to the courts e-filing website as a text-searchable PDF file. The electronic copy of the redacted version of the brief shall be separately uploaded to the courts e-filing website as a PDF image (i.e., a PDF file that is not text-searchable). Each version of the brief--in both hard copy and electronic copy--shall state on the brief cover whether it is ORIGINAL (i.e., unredacted) or REDACTED. The electronic copy of the original, unredacted brief will be maintained under seal by the clerk of this court. The electronic copy of the redacted version of the brief will be subject to the same procedure used for hard copies of the redacted versions of the briefs, as set forth in the second full paragraph on page 5 of the courts December 16, 2014 order. If no objection to the redacted version is received pursuant to that procedure, at the same time that the clerk of this court places the hard copy of the redacted version of the brief into the public court file, the clerk of this court will also permit access to the electronic copy of the redacted version of the brief.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: of 12/16/14 court order - w/ affidavit of service

OCCD SC 12-19-2014 Court Order

ORD that counsel are advised that they should keep open on their calendars both April 17, 2015, and the afternoon of April 20, 2015. This instruction should not be interpreted as meaning that the court will definitely hear oral argument on both of those days, but at this point the court simply wants all counsel to keep those dates available for oral argument in these matters. The court will advise counsel at a later date whether the court will hold oral argument on one or both of those dates, as well as other specifics regarding oral argument, including whether the court desires that counsel address the issues presented in these matters in a particular order.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

OCCD SC 12-16-2014 Court Changed to Supreme Court

OCCD CA 08-15-2014 Certificate of Service

Comment: Motion to Seal Response to Supplemental Filing to PRE and the Reply to Supplemental Filing on PRE

OCCD SC 08-15-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 8-15-2014

Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-16-2014
Granted subject to provisions of December 16, 2014 court order.
Comment: Motion to Seal Reply to Supplemental Filing to PRE

OCCD CA 07-14-2014 Certificate of Service
Comment: Motion to Seal Response to Supplemental Filing to PRE and the Response to Supplemental Filing on PRE

OCCD SC 07-14-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 7-14-2014
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-16-2014
Granted subject to provisions of December 16, 2014 court order.
Comment: Motion to Seal Response to Supplemental Filing to PRE

OCCD SC 06-25-2014 Certificate of Service
Comment: Supplemental Filing to PRE and Motion to Seal

OCCD SC 06-25-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Dean Strang
Submit Date: 6-25-2014
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-16-2014
Granted subject to provisions of December 16, 2014 court order.
Comment: Motion to Seal Supplemental filing to PRE

OCCD SC 03-19-2014 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: Letter from J. Bradley to counsel re: recusal.

OCCD SC 03-05-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 3-5-2014
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-16-2014
Granted subject to provisions of December 16, 2014 court order.
Comment: Motion to Seal (Response and Affidavit to PRE)

OCCD CA 02-24-2014 Motion for Reconsideration
Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)
Submit Date: 2-25-2014
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 3-7-2014
ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied. FRO that all additional correspondence from O'Grady relating to this case shall be placed in this court's correspondence file without response.
Comment: reconsider 2/13/14 motion decision

OCCD SC 02-24-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)

Submit Date: 2-25-2014

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 3-19-2014

ORD that the motion to intervene is denied in its entirety.

Abrahamson, C.J., did not participate regarding this motion.

Bradley, J., did not participate.

Comment: motion to intervene on PRE - filed with CA motion to reconsider 2/13/14 decision

OCCD SC 02-20-2014

Fee Paid

Comment: Receipt No: 14R 000492

OCCD SC 02-19-2014

Petition for Review

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 2-19-2014

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 12-16-2014

ORD the petition for review is granted; the petitions in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W are granted and this court assumes jurisdiction over that action; and the petition in Case No. 2014AP296-OA is granted and this court assumes jurisdiction over that action. These three proceedings shall be consolidated for purposes of briefing and oral argument in this court; and FRO the parties briefs shall address the issues outlined in written order; and FRO briefing set at 40/30/10 as outlined in written order; and FRO the portions of the briefs are outlined in written order; and FRO the parties shall file their respective briefs as outlined in written order; and FRO the clerk shall continue to maintain as sealed all documents previously filed under seal; and FRO on or before February 27, 2015, each party either file a written statement that the document may be placed into the public court file or file a redacted version of the document. Service and objections are outlined in written order; and FRO any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of any document filed either in the court of appeals or in this court; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and FRO the first brief filed in this court must contain, as part of the appendix, a copy of the decision of the court of appeals in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W and the relevant written decisions and orders of the John Doe Judge; and FRO within 30 days after the date of this order, each party must provide the clerk with 10 copies of the brief previously filed; and FRO within 15 days the clerk of the Milwaukee County circuit court shall assemble the record in Case No. 2012JD23, as outlined in written order. Within 15 days the clerk of the Dane County circuit court shall assemble the record in Case No. 2013JD9, as outlined in written order. The clerks of each circuit court shall submit the lists to John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson for his review and for his approval. Within 20 days, the final version of the lists and the assembled records shall be transmitted to the clerk of this court, as outlined in written order. Upon approval by Judge Peterson, each clerk of the circuit court shall send a copy of that clerk's list to the persons listed on this order. The record in Milwaukee County Case No. 2012JD23 and the record in Dane County Case No. 2013D9 shall constitute the record for purposes of these proceedings in this court; and FRO the allowance of costs, if any, in connection with the granting of the petition will abide the decision of this court on review; and FRO the motion to add five individuals as additional respondents in Case Nos. 2013AP2504-2508-W is denied; and FRO the parties will be notified of the date, the time, and the procedures for oral argument in these matters in due course. BRADLEY, J. did not participate. ABRAHAMSON, CJ and PROSSER, J. concurring.

Motion Response

Filed By: David Rice

Submit Date: 2-27-2014

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 3-5-2014

Motion Response

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 6-25-2014

Motion Response
Filed By: Francis Schmitz
Submit Date: 7-15-2014

Motion Response
Filed By: Dean Strang
Submit Date: 8-15-2014

Comment: FILED UNDER SEAL Ltr response from Atty Rice, not sealed Response and Affidavit of Special Prosecutor (SEALED) 6/25/14 - Supplemental Filing to PRE (SEALED) 7/14/14 Response to Supplemental Filing to PRE (SEALED) 8/15/14 - Response to Supplemental Filing to PRE (SEALED)

OCCD SC 02-19-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang
Submit Date: 2-19-2014
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-16-2014
Granted subject to provisions of December 16, 2014 court order.
Comment: Motion to Seal Petition for Review and Appendix

OCCD SC 02-19-2014 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang
Submit Date: 2-19-2014
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 12-16-2014

ORD the petition for review is granted; the petitions in Case Nos. 2014AP417-421-W are granted and this court assumes jurisdiction over that action; and the petition in Case No. 2014AP296-OA is granted and this court assumes jurisdiction over that action. These three proceedings shall be consolidated for purposes of briefing and oral argument in this court; and
FRO the parties briefs shall address the issues outlined in written order; and FRO briefing set at 40/30/10 as outlined in written order; and FRO the portions of the briefs are outlined in written order; and FRO the parties shall file their respective briefs as outlined in written order; and FRO the clerk shall continue to maintain as sealed all previously filed documents; and FRO on or before February 27, 2015, each party either file a written statement that the document may be placed into the public court file or file a redacted version of the document. Service and objections are outlined in written order; and FRO any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of any document filed either in the court of appeals or in this court; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and FRO the first brief filed in this court must contain, as part of the appendix, a copy of the decision of the court of appeals in Case Nos.

2013AP2504-2508-W and the relevant written decisions and orders of the John Doe Judge; and FRO within 30 days after the date of this order, each party must provide the clerk with 10 copies of the brief previously filed; and FRO within 15 days the clerk of the Milwaukee County circuit court shall assemble the record in Case No. 2012JD23, as outlined in written order. Within 15 days the clerk of the Dane County circuit court shall assemble the record in Case No. 2013JD9, as outlined in written order. The clerks of each circuit court shall submit the lists to John Doe Judge Gregory A. Peterson for his review and for his approval. Within 20 days, the final version of the and the assembled records shall be transmitted to the clerk of this court, as outlined in written order. Upon approval by Judge Peterson, each clerk of the circuit court shall send a copy of that clerk's list to the persons listed on this order. The record in Milwaukee County Case No. 2012JD23 and the record in Dane County Case No. 2013D9 shall constitute the record for purposes of these proceedings in this court; and FRO the allowance of costs, if any, in connection with the granting of the petition will abide the decision of this court on review; and FRO the motion to add five individuals as additional respondents in Case Nos.

2013AP2504-2508-W is denied; and FRO the parties will be notified of the date, the time, and the procedures for oral argument in these matters in due course. BRADLEY, J. did not participate. ABRAHAMSON, CJ and PROSSER, J. concurring.

Comment: Petitioners' Motion to Add Five Respondents

OCCD CA 02-19-2014 Certificate of Service

OCCD CA 02-17-2014 Motion for Reconsideration

Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)

Submit Date: 2-17-2014

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 2-18-2014

ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied.

OCCD CA 02-04-2014 Motion to Intervene

Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)

Submit Date: 2-4-2014

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 2-13-2014

ORD that the motion for intervention is denied.

Motion Response

Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)

Submit Date: 2-5-2014

Motion Response

Filed By: (O'Grady, Michael)

Submit Date: 2-10-2014

OCCD CA 02-03-2014 Response

Comment: Redacted Affidavits of Schmitz and Chisolm in Support of the Response of the Special Prosecutor

OCCD CA 01-30-2014 Opinion/Decision

Judge Panel: Blanchard, Lundsten, Kloppenburg

Opinion: Memo Opinion

Decision: Supervisory Writ Denied Pages: 9

Order Text: ORD that the motion to certify this matter to the Wisconsin Supreme Court is denied.

FRO that the consolidated petitions for a supervisory writ are denied.

FRO that the special prosecutor shall file and serve a redacted copy of the affidavits and materials accompanying his response, consistent with this order.

FRO that the clerk of this court shall place the following documents under seal in a manila envelope:

(1) the petition for a supervisory writ; (2) the affidavit supporting the writ petition; (3) Exhibit 1 to the writ petition; (4) the affidavits and exhibits accompanying the Special Prosecutors response; and (5) the petitioners supplemental filing and attachments related to an order issued by the John Doe judge while this matter has been pending. All other documents, including the redacted materials from the special prosecutor once they are filed, may be placed in a correspondence file open to public inspection.

OCCD CA 01-09-2014 Attorney Change

Comment: Firm/Address change for Atty Strang

OCCD CA 12-27-2013 Certificate of Service

Comment: reply and mtn to seal/unseal

OCCD CA 12-26-2013 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 12-26-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-30-2014

ORD that the motion to certify this matter to the Wisconsin Supreme Court is denied.

FRO that the consolidated petitions for a supervisory writ are denied.

FRO that the special prosecutor shall file and serve a redacted copy of the affidavits and materials accompanying his response, consistent with this order.

FRO that the clerk of this court shall place the following documents under seal in a manila envelope:

(1) the petition for a supervisory writ; (2) the affidavit supporting the writ petition; (3) Exhibit 1 to the writ petition; (4) the affidavits and exhibits accompanying the Special Prosecutors response; and (5) the petitioners supplemental filing and attachments related to an order issued by the John Doe judge while this matter has been pending. All other documents, including the redacted materials from the special prosecutor once they are filed, may be placed in a correspondence file open to public inspection.

Comment: Motion regarding redaction, disclosure of respondents' filings, and request for short reply

OCCD CA 12-26-2013 Certificate of Service

Comment: Mtn for redaction disclosure and request for reply

OCCD CA 12-26-2013 Certificate of Service

Comment: motion to file reply brief

OCCD CA 12-26-2013 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 12-26-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-30-2014

ORD that the motion to certify this matter to the Wisconsin Supreme Court is denied.

FRO that the consolidated petitions for a supervisory writ are denied.

FRO that the special prosecutor shall file and serve a redacted copy of the affidavits and materials accompanying his response, consistent with this order.

FRO that the clerk of this court shall place the following documents under seal in a manila envelope:

(1) the petition for a supervisory writ; (2) the affidavit supporting the writ petition; (3) Exhibit 1 to the writ petition; (4) the affidavits and exhibits accompanying the Special Prosecutors response; and (5) the petitioners supplemental filing and attachments related to an order issued by the John Doe judge while this matter has been pending. All other documents, including the redacted materials from the special prosecutor once they are filed, may be placed in a correspondence file open to public inspection.

Comment: motion to file reply brief w/ affidavit of Dean Strang

OCCD CA 11-27-2013 Attorney Change

Comment: Attorney David C. Rice for Hon. Gregory Peterson, Hon. Jeffrey Kremers, Hon. Gregory Potter, Hon. James Daley and Hon. James Duval. Intent to file joint response on their behalf, separate from any response filed by the Special Prosecutor.

OCCD CA 11-22-2013 Consolidated Case

Comment: [2013AP002504, 2013AP002505, 2013AP002506, 2013AP002507, 2013AP002508]

OCCD CA 11-19-2013 Transfer to District IV

OCCD CA 11-18-2013 Caption Amended

OCCD CA 11-14-2013

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 11-14-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 11-22-2013

ORD that the mtn to consolidate these matters into a single writ proceeding and to waive all but one of the filing fees is granted. FRO that the mtn to file the writ petn under seal is granted and the mtn to exempt the memo in supp of the pet from the seal is denied, for such time as the underlying JD investigations are still pending and any relevant secrecy orders issued by the JD judge(s) are still in eff, or until fro of this crt following an in camera insp of any docs any party asks this crt to order to remain under seal. FRO that the mtn to stay all further proceedings in the underlying JD invest is denied at this time. Proceedings may cont in any or all of these matters unless and until this crt ords otherwise. FRO that the req in the writ pet for orders prohibiting any reserve judge to serve as the JD judge and prohibiting either a JD judge or a spec pros from using a p.o. box for the delivery of documents related to the investigations are denied, and no resp are req on those issues. FRO that the JD judge and spec pros must respond to the four remaining issues in the writ pet and the mtns to seal and unseal various docs. At a min, they should address: (1) both the factual basis and legal authority for the assignment of a single reserve judge to handle JD investigations in multiple counties or admin districts; (2) the basis for the appt of a spec pros and the scope of his authority to act in multiple counties or jud admin dist; (3) the scope of the secrecy orders, and (4) whether any of the petitioners subm to this crt should remain under seal. They should also provide this court with copies of any materials in their possession relevant to these issues. FRO that the chief judges of the four jud admin districts at issue may, but need not, respond to the writ pet. The chief judges shall be exempt from this courts order sealing the petitioners writ materials so that they may eval whether to participate. If the chief judges need access to any other materials that may be subject to a secrecy ord in ord to prepare any resp that any of them elects to submit, and they do not already have access to the JD invest, they should seek an exemp from the JD judge. FRO that resp shall confer with one another to determine whether they intend to file a joint resp or sep resps addressing the issues most relevant to each of them; and who will be representing them. The resps shall then, within 5 business days of this order, notify opposing counsel as to how they can each be served. The petitioners shall have an addl 3 business days to serve the writ pet and all accompanying materials upon the resp. Resp shall then have 20 days to file their resp. required by this crt. FRO that if any of the resp believe that some or all of their subm should remain confid, they should file subm under seal & present crt w/ arg as to why they should remain under seal and for how long. Fro that mtn to cert one or more issues to SC is held in abeyance until rec'd responses
Comment: Motion to Unseal Supporting Memorandum and Motion for Stay

OCCD CA 11-14-2013

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 11-14-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-30-2014

ORD that the motion to certify this matter to the Wisconsin Supreme Court is denied.
FRO that the consolidated petitions for a supervisory writ are denied.
FRO that the special prosecutor shall file and serve a redacted copy of the affidavits and materials accompanying his response, consistent with this order.
FRO that the clerk of this court shall place the following documents under seal in a manila envelope: (1) the petition for a supervisory writ; (2) the affidavit supporting the writ petition; (3) Exhibit 1 to the writ petition; (4) the affidavits and exhibits accompanying the Special Prosecutors response; and (5) the petitioners supplemental filing and attachments related to an order issued by the John Doe judge while this matter has been pending. All other documents, including the redacted materials from the special prosecutor once they are filed, may be placed in a correspondence file open to public inspection.

Comment: Suggestion of Certification to SC -- held in abeyance until responses rec'd

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 11-14-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 11-22-2013

ORD that the mtn to consolidate these matters into a single writ proceeding and to waive all but one of the filing fees is granted. FRO that the mtn to file the writ petn under seal is granted and the mtn to exempt the memo in supp of the pet from the seal is denied, for such time as the underlying JD investigations are still pending and any relevant secrecy orders issued by the JD judge(s) are still in eff, or until fro of this crt following an in camera insp of any docs any party asks this crt to order to remain under seal. FRO that the mtn to stay all further proceedings in the underlying JD invest is denied at this time. Proceedings may cont in any or all of these matters unless and until this crt ords otherwise. FRO that the req in the writ pet for orders prohibiting any reserve judge to serve as the JD judge and prohibiting either a JD judge or a spec pros from using a p.o. box for the delivery of documents related to the investigations are denied, and no resp are req on those issues. FRO that the JD judge and spec pros must respond to the four remaining issues in the writ pet and the mtns to seal and unseal various docs. At a min, they should address: (1) both the factual basis and legal authority for the assignment of a single reserve judge to handle JD investigations in multiple counties or admin districts; (2) the basis for the appt of a spec pros and the scope of his authority to act in multiple counties or jud admin dist; (3) the scope of the secrecy orders, and (4) whether any of the petitioners subm to this crt should remain under seal. They should also provide this court with copies of any materials in their possession relevant to these issues. FRO that the chief judges of the four jud admin districts at issue may, but need not, respond to the writ pet. The chief judges shall be exempt from this courts order sealing the petitioners writ materials so that they may eval whether to participate. If the chief judges need access to any other materials that may be subject to a secrecy ord in ord to prepare any resp that any of them elects to submit, and they do not already have access to the JD invest, they should seek an exemp from the JD judge. FRO that resp shall confer with one another to determine whether they intend to file a joint resp or sep resps addressing the issues most relevant to each of them; and who will be representing them. The resps shall then, within 5 business days of this order, notify opposing counsel as to how they can each be served. The petitioners shall have an addl 3 business days to serve the writ pet and all accompanying materials upon the resp. Resp shall then have 20 days to file their resp. required by this crt. FRO that if any of the resp believe that some or all of their subm should remain confid, they should file subm under seal & present crt w/ arg as to why they should remain under seal and for how long. Fro that mtn to cert one or more issues to SC is held in abeyance until rec'd responses

Comment: stay of investigation

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 11-14-2013

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 11-22-2013

ORD that the mtn to consolidate these matters into a single writ proceeding and to waive all but one of the filing fees is granted. FRO that the mtn to file the writ petn under seal is granted and the mtn to exempt the memo in supp of the pet from the seal is denied, for such time as the underlying JD investigations are still pending and any relevant secrecy orders issued by the JD judge(s) are still in eff, or until fro of this crt following an in camera insp of any docs any party asks this crt to order to remain under seal. FRO that the mtn to stay all further proceedings in the underlying JD invest is denied at this time. Proceedings may cont in any or all of these matters unless and until this crt ords otherwise. FRO that the req in the writ pet for orders prohibiting any reserve judge to serve as the JD judge and prohibiting either a JD judge or a spec pros from using a p.o. box for the delivery of documents related to the investigations are denied, and no resp are req on those issues. FRO that the JD judge and spec pros must respond to the four remaining issues in the writ pet and the mtns to seal and unseal various docs. At a min, they should address: (1) both the factual basis and legal authority for the assignment of a single reserve judge to handle JD investigations in multiple counties or admin districts; (2) the basis for the appt of a spec pros and the scope of his authority to act in multiple counties or jud admin dist; (3)

the scope of the secrecy orders, and (4) whether any of the petitioners subm to this crt should remain under seal. They should also provide this court with copies of any materials in their possession relevant to these issues. FRO that the chief judges of the four jud admin districts at issue may, but need not, respond to the writ pet. The chief judges shall be exempt from this courts order sealing the petitioners writ materials so that they may eval whether to participate. If the chief judges need access to any other materials that may be subject to a secrecy ord in ord to prepare any resp that any of them elects to submit, and they do not already have access to the JD invest, they should seek an exemp from the JD judge. FRO that resp shall confer with one another to determine whether they intend to file a joint resp or sep resps addressing the issues most relevant to each of them; and who will be representing them. The resps shall then, within 5 business days of this order, notify opposing counsel as to how they can each be served. The petitioners shall have an addl 3 business days to serve the writ pet and all accompanying materials upon the resp. Resp shall then have 20 days to file their resp. required by this crt. FRO that if any of the resp believe that some or all of their subm should remain confid, they should file subm under seal & present crt w/ arg as to why they should remain under seal and for how long. Fro that mtn to cert one or more issues to SC is held in abeyance until rec'd responses
Comment: Motion to Seal Petition, Affidavit and Exhibits

OCCD CA 11-14-2013 Certificate of Service
Comment: served Judge Kluka by mail

OCCD CA 11-14-2013 Motion to Consolidate

Filed By: Dean Strang
Submit Date: 11-14-2013
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 11-22-2013

ORD that the mtn to consolidate these matters into a single writ proceeding and to waive all but one of the filing fees is granted. FRO that the mtn to file the writ petn under seal is granted and the mtn to exempt the memo in supp of the pet from the seal is denied, for such time as the underlying JD investigations are still pending and any relevant secrecy orders issued by the JD judge(s) are still in eff, or until fro of this crt following an in camera insp of any docs any party asks this crt to order to remain under seal. FRO that the mtn to stay all further proceedings in the underlying JD invest is denied at this time. Proceedings may cont in any or all of these matters unless and until this crt ords otherwise. FRO that the req in the writ pet for orders prohibiting any reserve judge to serve as the JD judge and prohibiting either a JD judge or a spec pros from using a p.o. box for the delivery of documents related to the investigations are denied, and no resp are req on those issues. FRO that the JD judge and spec pros must respond to the four remaining issues in the writ pet and the mtns to seal and unseal various docs. At a min, they should address: (1) both the factual basis and legal authority for the assignment of a single reserve judge to handle JD investigations in multiple counties or admin districts; (2) the basis for the appt of a spec pros and the scope of his authority to act in multiple counties or jud admin dist; (3) the scope of the secrecy orders, and (4) whether any of the petitioners subm to this crt should remain under seal. They should also provide this court with copies of any materials in their possession relevant to these issues. FRO that the chief judges of the four jud admin districts at issue may, but need not, respond to the writ pet. The chief judges shall be exempt from this courts order sealing the petitioners writ materials so that they may eval whether to participate. If the chief judges need access to any other materials that may be subject to a secrecy ord in ord to prepare any resp that any of them elects to submit, and they do not already have access to the JD invest, they should seek an exemp from the JD judge. FRO that resp shall confer with one another to determine whether they intend to file a joint resp or sep resps addressing the issues most relevant to each of them; and who will be representing them. The resps shall then, within 5 business days of this order, notify opposing counsel as to how they can each be served. The petitioners shall have an addl 3 business days to serve the writ pet and all accompanying materials upon the resp. Resp shall then have 20 days to file their resp. required by this crt. FRO that if any of the resp believe that some or all of their subm should remain confid, they should file subm under seal & present crt w/ arg as to why they should remain under seal and for how long. Fro

that mtn to cert one or more issues to SC is held in abeyance until rec'd responses

Comment: [2013AP002504, 2013AP002505, 2013AP002506, 2013AP002507, 2013AP002508]

OCCD CA 11-14-2013

Petition for Supervisory Writ

Filed By: Dean Strang

Submit Date: 11-14-2013

Decision: (D) Deny

Decision Date: 1-30-2014

ORD that the motion to certify this matter to the Wisconsin Supreme Court is denied.

FRO that the consolidated petitions for a supervisory writ are denied.

FRO that the special prosecutor shall file and serve a redacted copy of the affidavits and materials accompanying his response, consistent with this order.

FRO that the clerk of this court shall place the following documents under seal in a manila envelope:

(1) the petition for a supervisory writ; (2) the affidavit supporting the writ petition; (3) Exhibit 1 to the writ petition; (4) the affidavits and exhibits accompanying the Special Prosecutors response; and (5) the petitioners supplemental filing and attachments related to an order issued by the John Doe judge while this matter has been pending. All other documents, including the redacted materials from the special prosecutor once they are filed, may be placed in a correspondence file open to public inspection.

Motion Response

Filed By: Francis Schmitz

Submit Date: 12-20-2013

Motion Response

Filed By: David Rice

Submit Date: 12-23-2013

Comment: w/ Memo in Support of Petition
