

Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

State v. Tyler T.

Appeal Number 2010AP000784

CONFIDENTIAL
Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
OCCD	SC	06-28-2012		Remittitur
OCCD	SC	05-22-2012		Cites
		341 Wis. 2d 1		
OCCD	SC	05-22-2012		Cites
		814 N.W.2d 192		
OCCD	SC	05-22-2012		Opinion/Decision
		Judge Panel: Abrahamson, Bradley, Crooks, Prosser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed Pages: 28 Written by: Ziegler, Annette K. Abrahamson, Shirley S. Joined Dissenting Opinion Bradley, Ann Walsh Wrote Dissenting Opinion 9 pages. Prosser, David T. Jr. Took No Part Order Text: The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.		
OCCD	SC	05-21-2012		Public Domain Citation
		Comment: PDC No: 2012 WI 52		
OCCD	SC	02-01-2012		Supplement to Record
		Comment: 22-1 to 22-6		
OCCD	SC	01-12-2012		Oral Argument
		Comment: 1st case, 9:45 a.m., Atty. Susan E. Alesia counsel for Res-App-Pet and Atty. Zeke Wiedenfeld counsel for Pla-Res.		
OCCD	SC	01-03-2012		Letter/Correspondence
		Comment: from ADA Wiedenfeld; advising court of technical misstatement in brief		

OCCD SC	11-23-2011	Briefs Received At State Law Library
OCCD SC	11-17-2011 Filed By: Susan Alesia	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
OCCD SC	11-02-2011 Filed By: Zeke Wiedenfeld	Response Brief-Supreme Court
OCCD SC	11-01-2011	Assigned-Oral Argument
OCCD SC	10-13-2011 Filed By: Susan Alesia Comment: Appx of First Brief-Supreme Court	First Brief-Supreme Court
OCCD SC	09-13-2011	Caption Amended
OCCD SC	09-13-2011	Court Changed to Supreme Court
OCCD SC	05-24-2011 ORD that the petitioner-respondent, State of Wisconsin, is directed to file a response to the petition for review on or before 6/7/11.	Court Order
OCCD CA	01-31-2011 331 Wis. 2d 489	Cites
OCCD CA	01-31-2011 795 N.W.2d 64	Cites
OCCD CA	01-31-2011	Opinion Ordered Unpublished
OCCD SC	01-24-2011 Comment: SPD	Fee Waived
OCCD SC	01-24-2011 Filed By: Susan Alesia Submit Date: 2-16-2011 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 9-13-2011 ORD that the petition for review is granted. Briefs/statements due 30/20/10. Motion Response Filed By: Zeke Wiedenfeld Submit Date: 6-6-2011 Comment: State shall file response by 6/7/11 per 5/24/11 CTO	Petition for Review

OCCD CA 12-29-2010

[Opinion/Decision](#)

Judge Panel: Reilly

Opinion: Opinion

Decision: Affirmed Pages: 7

Order Text: Order affirmed.

OCCD CA 11-29-2010

Briefs Received At State Law Library

OCCD CA 11-12-2010

Submitted on Briefs

OCCD CA 11-11-2010

Record and Briefs Sent to District 2

OCCD CA 11-10-2010

Reply Brief

Filed By: Susan Alesia

OCCD CA 10-25-2010

Brief of Respondent(s)

Filed By: Zeke Wiedenfeld

OCCD CA 10-15-2010

Motion to Extend Time

Filed By: Zeke Wiedenfeld

Submit Date: 10-18-2010

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 10-19-2010

ORD that the time for the respondent to file its brief is extended to October 25, 2010. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.82(2)(a) (2007-08).

See BRS event due on 10-25-2010

OCCD CA 10-12-2010

Delinquent

OCCD CA 08-27-2010

Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)

Filed By: Susan Alesia

OCCD CA 08-20-2010

Motion to Extend Time

Filed By: Susan Alesia

Submit Date: 8-23-2010

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 8-24-2010

ORD that the time permitted the appellant for filing his brief and appendix is extended to August 27, 2010.

See BAP event due on 8-27-2010

OCCD CA 07-21-2010

Motion to Extend Time

Filed By: Susan Alesia

Submit Date: 7-22-2010

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 7-23-2010

ORD that the time permitted the appellant for filing his brief and appendix is extended to 8/20/10. See Wis. Stat. Rule 809.82(2)(a) (2007-08).
See BAP event due on 8-20-2010

OCCD CA	06-21-2010	Motion to Extend Time
Filed By: Susan Alesia Submit Date: 6-21-2010 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 6-23-2010 ORD that the time for the appellant to file his appellant's brief is extended to 7/21/10. See Wis. Stat. Rule 809.82(2)(a) (2007-08). See BAP event due on 7-21-2010		

OCCD CA	05-11-2010	Record
---------	------------	--------

OCCD CA	04-30-2010	Received SPD Appointment Order
---------	------------	--------------------------------

OCCD CA	04-30-2010	Statement on Transcript
Filed By: Mary Burns Status: Prev. Filed		

OCCD CA	04-29-2010	Delinquent
---------	------------	------------

OCCD CA	04-19-2010	Case to proceed under RAP
---------	------------	---------------------------

OCCD CA	04-15-2010	Docketing Statement Submitted
---------	------------	-------------------------------

OCCD CA	04-15-2010	Response
---------	------------	----------

OCCD CA	04-14-2010	Response
---------	------------	----------

OCCD CA	04-14-2010	Docketing Statement from Appellant
---------	------------	------------------------------------

OCCD CA	04-13-2010	Notif. Sent-Filing of LVO & Court Record
---------	------------	--

OCCD CA	04-13-2010	Copy of Order Granting Leave - from Cir. Ct.
---------	------------	--

OCCD CA	04-09-2010	Copy of CTO Grtg. PLV Filed in Cir. Ct.
---------	------------	---

OCCD CA	03-29-2010	Fee Waived
---------	------------	------------

OCCD CA	03-29-2010	Received SPD Appointment Order
---------	------------	--------------------------------

OCCD CA	03-29-2010	Petition for Leave to Appeal
Filed By: Mary Burns		

Submit Date: 4-5-2010

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 4-8-2010

ORD the petition for leave to appeal is granted, without costs. The entry of this order has the effect of the filing of a notice of appeal. Wis. Stat. Rule 809.50(3). Therefore, the appellant shall file a docketing statement with the clerk of this court as required by Rule 809.10(1)(d) and 809.50(3). A copy of this order has been forwarded to the clerk of the circuit court. Pursuant to Rule 809.11(2), the clerk of the circuit court or responsible court official shall return the copy of the order granting this petition and the docket entries maintained pursuant to Wis. Stat. s. 59.40, to the clerk of this court within 3 days of receipt of the order.

FRO that the parties shall have 10 days from the date of this order to advise us whether they desire an expedited briefing schedule. Generally, an appeal is appropriate for the expedited appeal calendar if it raises no more than three issues, the parties' briefs will not exceed fifteen pages in length and the briefs can be filed in a shorter time than normally allowed.

FRO that this court will set the briefing schedule upon the filing of those responses.

Motion Response

Filed By: Zeke Wiedenfeld

Submit Date: 4-5-2010
