Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

Steve P. v. Maegan F.

Appeal Number 2011AP002887

CONFIDENTIAL Supreme Court

Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status PEND	CA Court F	Reporter Name	Anticipated Due Date 01-30-2012 : (Wallow, Amy) PURSUE; 1/26/11 COC says	Activity Court Reporter's Statement-Trans. s transcripts in REC
OCCD	SC	05-08-2014		Briefs Received At State Law Library
OCCD	SC	12-10-2013		Remittitur
OCCD		12-09-2013 ent: Rec'd copy	/ of letter from Steve P to Ju	Letter/Correspondence udge DiMotto
OCCD		11-08-2013 s. 2d 28		Cites
OCCD		11-08-2013 N.2d 386		Cites
OCCD	SC11-08-2013Opinion/DecisionJudge Panel: Abrahamson, Bradley, Crooks, Prosser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman Opinion: Per Curiam Decision: Dismissed Pages: 4 Prosser, David T. Jr. Took No Part Order Text: The review of the decision of the court of appeals is dismissed as improvidently granted. David T. Prosser, J., withdrew from participation.			sser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman
OCCD		11-07-2013 ent: PDC No: 2	2013 WI 89	Public Domain Citation
OCCD	Filed B	10-16-2013 y: Supreme Co Date: 10-16-2	ourt Supreme Court 013	Sua Sponte

	Decision The re Prosse	er, J., withdrew from participation.	s is dismissed as improvidently granted. David T. ion to submit analysis of 2nd certified question		
OCCD		10-11-2013 ent: **10 EXTRA COPIES OF CA BRIEFS	Response S FOR SC **		
OCCD	SC	09-27-2013	Reply Brief-Supreme Court		
	Comm	dded to the cover of all briefs. Please Incl	Trial Court Judge and County appealed from have ude this information on all future briefs pursuant to rule		
OCCD	SC	09-23-2013	Reply Brief-Supreme Court		
	Filed By: Renee Mura Comment: Reply to Maegen F. Please note that the Trial Court Judge and County appealed from have been added to the cover of all briefs. Please Include this information on all future briefs pursuant to rule 809.19 (9)				
OCCD	SC	09-23-2013	Brief of Amicus Curiae		
		By: Maria L ent: DCF			
OCCD	SC	09-17-2013	Response Brief-Supreme Court		
	Filed By: Laurence Moon Comment: Noel G. Please note the party designation on the caption was corrected on the covers of all briefs. Please use the above caption on all future briefs.				
OCCD	SC	09-12-2013	Certificate of Filing by Mail		
	Comment: BRY to Guardian Ad Litem				
OCCD	SC	09-10-2013	Reply Brief		
	Filed By: Renee Mura Comment: Reply to Guardian ad Litem Brief				
OCCD	SC	09-09-2013	Response Brief-Supreme Court		
	Comm	ent: Maegan F.			
OCCD	SC	09-06-2013	Motion for Miscellaneous Relief		
	Submi Decisio Decisio The re Prosse	By: Renee Mura t Date: 9-6-2013 on: (N) No Action on Date: 11-5-2013 view of the decision of the court of appeal er, J., withdrew from participation.	s is dismissed as improvidently granted. David T.		

OCCD	Filed B Submit Decisio Decisio ORD th brief sh granted		Motion to Extend Time a response brief is granted. Respondents-respondents' ember 16, 2013. No further extensions of time will be	
OCCD		09-04-2013 ent: BGL	Certificate of Filing by Mail	
OCCD	SC	09-03-2013	Brief of Guardian ad Litem	
	Filed By: Brian K Comment: Note that the caption has been corrected on brief. Please use the above caption on all future briefs.			
OCCD	Filed B Submit Decisio Decisio IT IS O before	09-03-2013 y: Chris Velnetske Date: 9-4-2013 n: (G) Grant n Date: 9-4-2003 RDERED the motion is granted. Maegan 09/06/13. No further extensions will be gra 22 event due on 9-6-2013	Motion to Extend Time F.'s response brief shall be served and filed on or anted.	
OCCD	SC	09-03-2013	Attorney Change	
	Comment: Rec'd Notice of Appearance; Added B. P. Keenan, M. J. Aprahamian, K. J. Matic, P. C. Babler, Counsel for GAL			
OCCD	Filed B	08-13-2013 y: Renee Mura ent: Separate Appendix Appx to First Brie	First Brief-Supreme Court f-Supreme Court	
OCCD	CCD SC 08-05-2013 Court Order IT IS ORDERED that within ten days of the date of this order, petitioners-appellants-pe and Donna P.; respondents-respondents Maegan F. and Noel G.; and the guardian ad Sheila Hill-Roberts, must file a response addressing DCFs request to access the appel IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that DCFs request to be named as an interested party is o motion requesting permission to file an amicus curiae brief pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule that this motion is hereby granted. The deadline for the filing of the amicus curiae brief future order following the disposition of DCFs request to access the appellate record. See MMS event filed on 6-18-2013		of this order, petitioners-appellants-petitioners Steve P. n F. and Noel G.; and the guardian ad litem, Attorney sing DCFs request to access the appellate record; and o be named as an interested party is construed as a uriae brief pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19(7), and for the filing of the amicus curiae brief will be set in a	

Comment: of MMS filed by Atty. Mura rcv'd

Certificate of Service

OCCD SC 06-26-2013

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 6-26-2013 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 7-11-2013 IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. Comment: Motion to lift stay

OCCD SC 06-19-2013

Interim Motion for Reconsideration

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 6-19-2013 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 8-5-2013 IT IS ORDERED the motion for reconsideration is denied. Comment: of 06/04/13 motion

OCCD SC 06-19-2013

Sua Sponte

Filed By: Supreme Court Supreme Court Submit Date: 6-19-2013 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 8-5-2013

IT IS ORDERED that the briefing stay is hereby lifted; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 14 days of the date of this order the petitioners-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the respondents-respondents, Maegan F. and Noel G., and the guardian ad litem, Attorney Sheila R. Hill-Roberts, must file either their respective briefs or statements that no brief will be filed; and that if any brief is filed by the respondents-respondents or the guardian ad litem, within 10 days of filing the petitioners-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other terms of this courts May 29, 2013 order granting the petition for review remain in effect.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J. (dissenting). I disagree with the majority that the briefing stay should be lifted. Instead, I think that the better approach is set forth in the recommendation advanced by the Guardian ad Litem that the briefing stay be continued. In her letter to this court dated July 15, 2013, the Guardian ad Litem argued for the best interests of the child: "With the filing of the TPR, it may be in Giovannas best interest to continue to stay the briefing schedule and await the results of the TPR hearing." Given the status of these proceedings and the possibility that the issues may become moot, I determine that continuing the briefing stay is the wiser approach.

Motion Response Filed By: Shelia H Submit Date: 7-17-2013 Motion Response Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 7-17-2013 Motion Response Filed By: Laurence Moon Submit Date: 7-23-2013 Comment: Rec'd from GAL, update to case, only provided 1 copy; 07/15/13, Rec'd from GAL, update to case, only provided 1faxed copy; 07/17/13, Rec'd response to 06/26/13 cto from Pet; 07/18/13, Rec'd by mail, duplicate copy of faxed letter of 07/15/13; 07/23/13, Rec'd response from Noel G's Counsel OCCD SC 06-18-2013

Filed By: Maria L Submit Date: 6-19-2013 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 8-27-2013

IT IS ORDERED that DCF's request to access the appellate record is granted. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that DCF must file any amicus brief no later than 14 days after the filing of any brief by the respondents-respondents or the guardian ad litem. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J. (dissenting). The Guardian ad Litem, in a July 15, 2013 letter to this court, argued for the best interests of the child. She advised the court that: "With the filing of the TPR, it may be in Giovanna's best interest to continue to stay the briefing schedule and await the results of the TPR hearing." Given the status of these proceedings and the possibility that the issues may become moot, I conclude that the wiser course is to heed the Guardian ad Litem's advice in this regard. I would stay the briefing schedule. Accordingly, I dissent from the order of the majority because it advances the briefing schedule rather than maintaining the status quo pending further proceedings in the circuit court. I am authorized to state that Chief Justice Shirley S. Abrahamson joins in this dissent. Motion Response Filed By: Renee Mura

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 8-6-2013 This motion contains court order(s). Comment: Motion for Access to Pleadings by DCF; 08/06/13, Rec'd Response from Pet; 08/14/13, Rec'd response from GAL

OCCD SC 06-14-2013

Sua Sponte

Filed By: Unassigned District 1 Submit Date: 6-17-2013 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 6-19-2013

IT IS ORDERED that the briefing schedule in this case is stayed pending further order of the court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than seven days after the July 10, 2013 circuit court hearing referenced above, petitioners-appellants-petitioners Steve P. and Donna P.; respondents-respondents Maegan F. and Noel G.; and the guardian ad litem, Attorney Sheila Hill-Roberts, must file a response addressing how the outcome of said hearing impacts the issues pending before this court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioners-appellants-petitioners Steve P. and Donna P.'s response must additionally state whether, in light of the coutcome of the July 10, 2013 circuit court hearing reference above, they continue to intend to litigate this case in this court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-referenced motion by the Wisconsin Department of Children and Families and the above-referenced motion for reconsideration by Steve P. and Donna P. are held in abeyance pending further order of this court.

Comment: Rcv'd corr from Atty. Mura re 5/29/13 CTO, action?

OCCD SC 06-04-2013

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 6-4-2013 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 6-13-2013 IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied. See Austin v. Ford Motor Co., 86 Wis. 2d 628, 641, 273 N.W.2d 233, 239 (1979) (Appellate review is limited to the record before the appellate court). Comment: Motion to order the circuit court to print a certified copy of the detailed case history in CCAP and deliver same to SC & all attorneys in appeal, & order that APP's coursel may view the court file

OCCD SC 05-29-2013

Caption Amended

OCCD SC 05-29-2013

OCCD	IT IS C directe status 2011J0 the inte	d to file a response addressing the following since the date of the appealed-from circuit G304, dated September 20, 2011, 2. The s	Court Order , the guardian ad litem, Attorney Sheila Hill-Roberts, is ng two issues: 1. Giovanna P.'s custody and placement t court order in Milwaukee County Cir. Ct. No. status of the Petition for Protection or Services filed in 1 in Milwaukee County Cir. Ct. No. 2011JC774. The
OCCD		01-30-2013 W.2d 123	Cites
OCCD		01-30-2013 is. 2d 847	Cites
OCCD	CA	01-30-2013	Opinion Ordered Unpublished
OCCD		01-24-2013 ent: Receipt No: 13R 000235	Fee Paid
OCCD			

OCCD CA 12-27-2012

Judge Panel: Fine, Brennan, Kessler Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed Pages: 19 Written by: Brennan, Kitty K. Order Text: Order affirmed

OCCD CA Submitted on Briefs 10-02-2012 Briefs Received At State Law Library OCCD CA 07-12-2012 OCCD CA 06-26-2012 Record and Briefs Sent to District 1 OCCD CA 06-25-2012 **Reply Brief** Filed By: Renee Mura Comment: Reply to Noel G. Please note that the brief certification pursuant to Rule 809.19(8)(b) and (c) has been corrected on this brief. Please refer to rule 809.19 regarding the brief certification. Received 10 copies of BAP for SC use, PS 8-13-13 OCCD CA **Reply Brief** 06-25-2012 Filed By: Renee Mura Comment: Reply to Maegan F. Please note that the brief certification pursuant to Rule 809.19(8)(b) and (c) has been corrected on this brief. Please refer to rule 809.19 regarding the brief certification. Received 10 copies of BAP for SC use, PS 8-13-13 OCCD CA Brief of Respondent(s) 06-15-2012 Comment: Noel G. Appx to Brief of Respondent - Noel G., Received 10 copies of BRS for SC use. 10-3-13 PS OCCD CA Certificate of Filing by Mail 06-14-2012 Comment: BRS-Maegan OCCD CA 06-14-2012 **Reply Brief** Filed By: Renee Mura Comment: Pet-App's Reply to Guardian ad Litem's Brief Received 10 copies of BAP for SC use, PS 8-13-13 OCCD CA 06-14-2012 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief Filed By: Laurence Moon Submit Date: 6-14-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 6-15-2012 IT IS ORDERED that Noel G.'s modified briefs are deemed timely and accepted for filing. Comment: Motion to file modified Brief, Briefs have been redacted, Make timely?

OCCD CA 06-13-2012

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 6-13-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 6-14-2012 IT IS ORDERED that the appellant's reply brief to the guardian ad litem's brief is accepted for filing. Comment: Motion for permission to file reply to GAL brief

OCCD CA 06-12-2012

Delinquent

Brief of Respondent(s)

Comment: New due date of connected BRS: 6-19-2012

OCCD CA 06-12-2012

Filed By: Chris Velnetske

Comment: Brief of Maegan F. Please note that the brief certification pursuant to Rule 809.19(8)(d) has been corrected on this brief. Please be advised that the appendix has been corrected to comply with Rules of Appellate 809.19(1)(g) regarding the rules on confidentiality.

OCCD CA 06-04-2012

Comment: For BGL filed 5/31/12

OCCD CA 05-31-2012 Filed By: Shelia H Brief of Guardian ad Litem

Certificate of Filing by Mail

Comment: Received 10 copies of BGL for SC use, PS 6-17-13 Appx to Brief of Guardian ad Litem

OCCD CA 05-07-2012

Filed By: Renee Mura

Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)

Comment: Separate Appendix. Please be advised briefs and appendix must comply with Rules of Appellate 809.19(1)(g) regarding the rules on confidentiality. Received 10 copies of BAP for SC use, PS 8-13-13 Appx to Brief of Appellant(s)

OCCD CA 04-30-2012 Comment: 74-18 to 76-1 Supplement to Record

OCCD CA 04-25-2012 Supplement to Record Comment: 49-18 to 53-1 (Rec'd Corrected Index- 69-18 to 73-1)

OCCD CA 04-18-2012

Sua Sponte

Filed By: Unassigned District 1 Submit Date: 4-18-2012 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 4-20-2012 IT IS ORDERED that the motion to supplement the record with the above-described transcript is granted. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of circuit court shall, within ten days of the date of this order, transmit the above-described item to the clerk of this court by supplemental return. The clerk of circuit court is advised that if an original document cannot be retrieved, the court will accept a true and correct copy of the document that is acceptable to the parties.

Comment: Motion of 03/12/12 also requested 8/25/11 transcript to be added to REC, Action?

OCCD CA 03-12-2012

Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that, if Steven P and Donna P. wish to pursue this motion, Attorney Mura shall file a supplement to the motion as described above no later than March 23, 2012. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents may file a response to the motion within seven days after they are served with the supplement. See MCR event filed on 2-23-2012

OCCD CA 03-07-2012

Attorney Change

Comment: Per call from Atty., Added GAL, S. Hill-Roberts

OCCD CA 02-23-2012

Motion to Correct/Supplement Record

Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 3-8-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 4-17-2012 IT IS ORDERED that the motion to correct the record by including the three items discussed in this order is granted. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of circuit court shall, within ten days of the date of this order, transmit the three items discussed above to the clerk of this court by supplemental return. The clerks are advised that this court will accept true and correct copies of the documents that are acceptable to all parties. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Steve P. and Donna P. shall have fifteen days from the date the supplemental return is filed with the clerk of this court to file an appellants brief and appendix and further briefing shall proceed in accord with the rules of appellate procedure. Motion Response Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 4-9-2012 Motion Response Filed By: Shelia H Submit Date: 4-9-2012 Motion Response Filed By: Renee Mura Submit Date: 4-9-2012 Motion Response Filed By: Shelia H Submit Date: 4-9-2012 This motion contains court order(s). Comment: 03/12/12, Rec'd App's response; 03/16/12, Rec'd GAL's Response; 03/23/12, Rec'd App's supp to motion; 04/02/12, Rec'd GAL's response to MCR

OCCD	CA	02-16-2012	Record			
	Comme	ent: 1-17 to 68-1, Separate Box				
OCCD	CA	01-05-2012	Statement on Transcript			
		Filed By: Renee Mura				
		Status: Prev. Filed Comment: CRS filed by Gayle Nelson on 12/21/11 of 07/18/11 transcript				
OCCD	CA	01-03-2012	Statement on Transcript			
	Filed By: Renee Mura					

Status: Prev. Filed Comment: Additional SRT from Appellant.

OCCD		12-21-2011	Statement on Transcript			
	Filed By: Renee Mura Status: Ordered					
OCCD	СА	12-20-2011	Court Reporter's Statement-Trans.			
	Court	Reporter Name: (Teeguarden, June)				
OCCD	CA	12-20-2011	Statement on Transcript			
		By: Renee Mura				
		Status: Ordered Comment: 12/22/11, Rec'd another copy, sent in by Ct Reporter				
OCCD	CA	12-19-2011	Transcript filed in Circuit Court			
OCCD	СА	12-19-2011	Motion to Extend Time			
	Filed By: Renee Mura					
		it Date: 12-19-2011 on: (G) Grant				
	Decisi	Decision Date: 12-22-2011				
		IT IS ORDERED the appellant shall file a statement on transcript no later than 01/05/12. See Wis. Stat. Rule 809.82(2)(a) (2009-10).				
		RT event filed on 12-20-2011				
OCCD	CA	12-16-2011	Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record			
OCCD	CA	12-16-2011	Fee Paid			
	Comment: Receipt No: 11R 003108					
OCCD	СА	12-15-2011	Notice of Appeal & Circuit Court Docket Entries			
OCCD	CA	12-06-2011	Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.			
OCCD	СА	12-05-2011	Docketing Statement from Appellant			
OCCD	СА	09-20-2011	Order of Circuit Court			