

# Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

## Village of Elm Grove v. Richard K. Brefka

### Appeal Number 2011AP002888

Supreme Court

#### CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
OCCD	SC	10-30-2013		Remittitur
OCCD	SC	10-22-2013		Cites
		350 Wis. 2d 724		
OCCD	SC	10-22-2013		Cites
		838 N.W.2d 87		
OCCD	SC	10-22-2013		Court Order
		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that footnote 3 is editorially revised to add the following paragraph to the beginning of the footnote: "Finally, we note that Attorney Andrew Mishlove first made an appearance in this case subsequent to the time that the ten-day time limit for demanding a refusal hearing had expired. It appears that Mr. Brefka, or his predecessor counsel, missed the time prior to the date of Attorney Mishlove's appearance." The second paragraph of footnote 3 will then begin, "Further, we note that Tthe municipal court . . . ."		
OCCD	SC	10-22-2013		Public Domain Citation
		Comment: PDC No: 2013 WI 86		
OCCD	SC	07-16-2013		Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
		Filed By: Andrew Mishlove Submit Date: 7-16-2013 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 10-22-2013 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that footnote 3 is editorially revised to add the following paragraph to the beginning of the footnote: "Finally, we note that Attorney Andrew Mishlove first made an appearance in this case subsequent to the time that the ten-day time limit for demanding a refusal hearing had expired. It appears that Mr. Brefka, or his predecessor counsel, missed the time prior to the date of Attorney Mishlove's appearance." The second paragraph of footnote 3 will then begin, "Further, we note that Tthe municipal court . . . ." Comment: Motion to revise the written decision		
OCCD	SC	06-26-2013		Cites
		348 Wis. 2d 282		

OCCD SC	06-26-2013	Cites
	832 N.W.2d 121	
OCCD SC	06-26-2013	<a href="#">Opinion/Decision</a>
	Judge Panel: Abrahamson, Bradley, Crooks, Prosser, Roggensack, Ziegler, Gableman	
	Opinion: Opinion	
	Decision: Affirmed Pages: 23	
	Written by: Bradley, Ann Walsh	
	Order Text: The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.	
OCCD SC	06-25-2013	Public Domain Citation
	Comment: PDC No: 2013 WI 54	
OCCD SC	03-13-2013	Briefs Received At State Law Library
	Comment: Additional Authorities	
OCCD SC	03-13-2013	Oral Argument
	Comment: 2nd case, 9:45 a.m; Atty Andrew Mishlove for Def-App-Pet ; Atty Douglas Hoffer for Pla-Res	
OCCD SC	03-07-2013	Additional Authorities
	Comment: filed by DEF-APP-PET, Richard K. Brefka; forwarded to marshall's office for delivery to the court Additional Authorities	
OCCD SC	02-06-2013	Briefs Received At State Law Library
OCCD SC	01-17-2013	Assigned-Oral Argument
OCCD SC	01-14-2013	Attorney Change
	Comment: Added L. Stuckert, Counsel for Def-App-Pet	
OCCD SC	01-14-2013	Reply Brief-Supreme Court
		<a href="#">Reply Brief-Supreme Court</a>
	Filed By: Andrew Mishlove	
OCCD SC	01-08-2013	Response
	Comment: **10 EXTRA COPIES OF CA BRIEFS FOR SC **	
OCCD SC	01-03-2013	Response Brief-Supreme Court
		<a href="#">Response Brief-Supreme Court</a>
	Filed By: Douglas Hoffer	
	Comment: Appx to Response Brief-Supreme Court	
OCCD SC	12-14-2012	First Brief-Supreme Court
		<a href="#">First Brief-Supreme Court</a>

OCCD SC	11-14-2012	Caption Amended
OCCD SC	11-14-2012	Court Changed to Supreme Court
OCCD CA	07-26-2012 343 Wis. 2d 680	Cites
OCCD CA	07-26-2012 819 N.W.2d 563	Cites
OCCD CA	07-26-2012	Opinion Ordered Unpublished
OCCD SC	07-20-2012 Comment: Receipt No: 12R 001694	Fee Paid
OCCD SC	07-19-2012 Filed By: Andrew Mishlove Submit Date: 7-30-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 11-14-2012 IT IS ORDERED the petition for review is granted. Briefs/statements 3020/10. Motion Response Filed By: Douglas Hoffer Submit Date: 7-30-2012	Petition for Review
OCCD CA	06-19-2012 Judge Panel: Brennan Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed Pages: 8 Order Text: Order affirmed	<a href="#">Opinion/Decision</a>
OCCD CA	06-08-2012	Briefs Received At State Law Library
OCCD CA	05-18-2012	Submitted on Briefs
OCCD CA	05-16-2012	Record and Briefs Sent to District 1
OCCD CA	05-15-2012 Comment: No reply brief to be filed because the respondent's rely solely on unpublished decision in their brief. No Brief to Be Filed	No Brief to Be Filed
OCCD CA	05-02-2012	Brief of Respondent(s) <a href="#">Brief of Respondent(s)</a>

Filed By: Douglas Hoffer

Comment: 11/26/12 - rcvd 10 copies of CA brief for SC use. Appx to Brief of Respondent(s)

---

OCCD CA 04-10-2012

Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)  
[Brief of Appellant](#)

Filed By: Andrew Mishlove

Comment: Please note a Statement on Oral Argument and Publication was added to all briefs. RECD 10 addl copie sof CA BAP and BNO for SC use 11/28/12 Appx of Appellant(s)

---

OCCD CA 03-01-2012

Record

Comment: 1-2 to 12-1

---

OCCD CA 02-10-2012

Court Reporter's Statement-Trans.

Court Reporter Name: (Zenieceki, Bonita)

---

OCCD CA 02-09-2012

Transcript filed in Circuit Court

---

OCCD CA 12-22-2011

Statement on Transcript

Filed By: Andrew Mishlove  
 Status: Ordered

---

OCCD CA 12-15-2011

Transfer to District I

---

OCCD CA 12-15-2011

Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record

---

OCCD CA 12-15-2011

Fee Paid

Comment: Receipt No: 11R 003102

---

OCCD CA 12-15-2011

Notice of Appeal & Circuit Court Docket Entries

---

OCCD CA 12-15-2011

Docketing Statement from Appellant

---

OCCD CA 12-14-2011

Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.

---

OCCD CA 11-01-2011

Order of Circuit Court

---