

Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

John Doe 1 v. Madison Metro School District

Appeal Number 2020AP001032

Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
OCCD	SC	08-23-2022		Remittitur
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		Cites
		403 Wis. 2d 369		
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		Cites
		976 N.W.2d 584		
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		Opinion/Decision Judge Panel: Roggensack, Bradley, Ziegler, Bradley, Dallet, Hagedorn, Karofsky Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed and remanded Pages: 28 Written by: Hagedorn, Brian K. Roggensack, Patience Drake Wrote Dissenting Opinion 27 pages. Ziegler, Annette K. Joined Dissenting Opinion Bradley, Rebecca Grassl Joined Dissenting Opinion Order Text: The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed and the cause is remanded to the circuit court. Comment: Opinion/Decision - Supreme Court Opinion/Decision - Supreme Court
OCCD	SC	07-07-2022		Public Domain Citation
		Comment: PDC No: 2022 WI 65		
OCCD	SC	05-24-2022		Oral Argument
		Comment: 11:00 a.m. (in the Capitol Hearing Room). Luke N. Berg for Plaintiff-Appellants-Petitioners. Sarah A. Zylstra for Defendant-Respondent. Adam R. Prinsen for Intervenor-Defendants-Respondents.		
OCCD	SC	05-06-2022		Other Papers
		Comment: Returned mail		
OCCD	SC	04-08-2022		Sua Sponte
		Filed By: Unassigned District 4		

Submit Date: 4-8-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 4-11-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the court will hear oral argument in this matter on Tuesday, May 24, 2022, beginning at 11:00 a.m., in the Supreme Court Hearing Room, 2nd Floor, East Wing of the State Capitol, Madison, Wisconsin. The oral argument on this date will not be adjourned. Each side will have 30 minutes of oral argument as follows:

25 Minutes Plaintiffs-Appellants-Petitioners, John Doe 1, et al.

By: Luke N. Berg, Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty, Inc., Milwaukee, WI

15 Minutes Defendant-Respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District

By: Sarah A. Zylstra, Boardman & Clark, LLP, Madison, WI

15 Minutes Intervenors-Defendants-Respondents, Gender Equity Assn. of James Madison Memorial High School, et al.

By: Adam R. Prinsen, Quarles & Brady, Madison, WI

5 Minutes Luke N. Berg Rebuttal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party must have back-up counsel available to argue in the event that the designated attorney(s) cannot appear and present oral argument for any reason on the scheduled oral argument date;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if any special access, visual or hearing arrangements are necessary for your attendance in court, please advise the Clerk of the Supreme Court no later than Wednesday, May 18, 2022; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon arrival for oral argument, each attorney presenting argument shall check in with the Clerk's office staff outside the Supreme Court Hearing Room.

Comment: Letter from Attorney Prinsen 04-11-2022 Order

OCCD SC 04-08-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Attorney Prinsen

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Professors of Psychology & Human Development\)](#)

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila

Comment: Professors of Psychology and Human Development (PPHD)

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Madison Teachers Inc. \(MTI\)\)](#)

Filed By: Tamara Packard

Comment: Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae
[Brief Of Amicus Curiae \(AACAP, WCCAP\)](#)

Filed By: Eric Pearson

Comment: American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) and Wisconsin Council of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (WCCAP)

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Liberty Justice Center\)](#)

Comment: Liberty Justice Center (LCJ)

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Cover Letter to Motion for Pro Hac Vice status

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Motion for Pro Hac Vice status

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila
Submit Date: 3-30-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-30-2022
IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.
Comment: Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Reply Brief-Supreme Court
[Reply Brief - Supreme Court](#)

Filed By: Luke Berg
Comment: Separate appendix

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila
Submit Date: 3-25-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-30-2022
IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.
Comment: Professors of Psychology and Human Development (PPHD)

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Eric Pearson
Submit Date: 3-25-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-30-2022
IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.
Comment: Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief - American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) and the Wisconsin Council of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (WCCAP)

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Cover letter to MAM - Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Tamara Packard
Submit Date: 3-25-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.
Comment: Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Daniel Suhr
Submit Date: 3-25-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.
Comment: Liberty Justice Center (LJC)

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Wisconsin Family Action \(WFA\), et al.\)](#)

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz
Comment: Wisconsin Family Action (WFA), et al.

OCCD SC 03-24-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz
Submit Date: 3-24-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-25-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the brief filed with the motion is accepted as filed; and,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr., pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order.
Comment: Wisconsin Family Action (WFA), Illinois Family Institute (IFI), Minnesota Family Council (MFC), Delaware Family Policy Council (DFPC), Nebraska Family Alliance (NFA), Hawaii Family Forum (HFF), The Family Foundation (TFF), Minnesota-Wisconsin Baptist Convention (MWBC), Ethics and Religious Liberty commission of the Southern Baptist Convention (ERLCSBC), Concerned Women for America (CWA), Ethics and Public Policy Center (EPPC), National Legal Foundation (NLF), Pacific Justice Institute (PJI)

OCCD SC 03-24-2022 Motion for Pro Hac Vice status

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz
Submit Date: 3-24-2022
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 3-25-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the brief filed with the motion is accepted as filed; and,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr., pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order.
Comment: Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr.

OCCD	SC	03-21-2022	Motion for Pro Hac Vice status
<p>Filed By: Christine Donahoe Submit Date: 3-22-2022 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 3-22-2022 IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Jon W. Davidson, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order. Comment: Jon W. Davidson 03-22-2022 Order</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-21-2022	Notice of Appearance
<p>Comment: Notice of Substitution of Counsel - Attorney Christine Donahoe replaced Attorney John Knight</p>			
OCCD	SC	03-16-2022	Response Brief-Supreme Court Joint Response Brief - Supreme Court
<p>Comment: Separate appendix.</p>			
OCCD	SC	02-23-2022	Assigned-Oral Argument
OCCD	SC	02-14-2022	First Brief-Supreme Court First Brief - Supreme Court
<p>Filed By: Luke Berg</p>			
OCCD	SC	02-10-2022	Motion for Clarification
<p>Filed By: Luke Berg Submit Date: 2-11-2022 Decision: (N) No Action Decision Date: 7-8-2022 No action. Comment: Motion for Clarification as to Signature Requirements; made moot by S.Ct. Rule Order Nos. 19-02B and 20-07B (07/07/22).</p>			
OCCD	SC	02-10-2022	Motion to Extend Time
<p>Filed By: Luke Berg Submit Date: 2-11-2022 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 2-7-2022 IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioners' motion for an extension of time is granted. Petitioners' opening brief, which was received by the clerk of this court on February 14, 2022, is accepted for filing as of that date; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondents' motion for an extension of time is granted. Respondents' response brief shall be served and filed on or before March 16, 2022; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing motions for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae set forth in the courts January 13, 2022 order is amended as follows. Any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 25, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7). A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it. See BR1 event due on 2-2-2022 Comment: 02-17-2022 Order</p>			

OCCD SC 02-10-2022

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Emily Feinstein

Submit Date: 2-11-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 2-17-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioners' motion for an extension of time is granted. Petitioners' opening brief, which was received by the clerk of this court on February 14, 2022, is accepted for filing as of that date; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondents' motion for an extension of time is granted.

Respondents' response brief shall be served and filed on or before March 16, 2022; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing motions for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae set forth in the courts January 13, 2022 order is amended as follows. Any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 25, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7). A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it.

Comment: Respondents' Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief

OCCD SC 02-09-2022

Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Clerk to Attorney Berg

OCCD SC 02-08-2022

Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Attorney Berg

OCCD SC 01-13-2022

Court Changed to Supreme Court

OCCD SC 01-13-2022

Court Order

(continued..)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the first brief filed in this court must contain, as part of the appendix, a copy of the decision of the court of appeals in this case; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if a party has not previously filed an electronic copy of the briefs filed on behalf of that party in the court of appeals, the party, within 30 days after the date of this order, must provide the clerk of this court with 10 copies of the brief previously filed on behalf of that party in the court of appeals; but if the party has already filed an electronic copy of such briefs, then there is no obligation to provide additional copies of those briefs to the clerk of this court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 2, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7).

A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the allowance of costs, if any, in connection with the granting of the petition will abide the decision of this court on review.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J. (dissenting). I would deny the motion to file a reply. This court has seen an unfortunate recent trend of filing such motions. I say unfortunate because a reply to a response to a petition for review is outside of this court's established rules. See Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(2) and (3) (providing requirements for petition for review and response to petition only)

OCCD SC 09-08-2021

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 9-8-2021

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 1-13-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to file a reply is granted, and plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners' reply and

appendix are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for review is granted and that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(6), the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for review unless otherwise ordered by the court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 20 days after the date of this order the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the defendant-respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District, and intervenors-defendants-respondents, Gender Equity Association of James Madison Memorial High School, Gender Sexuality Alliance of Madison West High School, and Gender Sexuality Alliance of Robert M. La Follette High School, must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the defendant-respondent and intervenors-defendants-respondents, within 10 days of filing of the last response brief the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' brief or petition for review or response; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and (continued..)

Motion Response

Filed By: Sarah Zylstra

Submit Date: 9-10-2021

Comment: Motion to file reply in support of petition for review; Response to Motion to file reply in support of petition for review

OCCD CA	09-08-2021	Final Publication
---------	------------	-------------------

OCCD CA	08-25-2021	Cites
	399 Wis. 2d 102	

OCCD CA	08-25-2021	Cites
	963 N.W.2d 823	

OCCD CA	08-25-2021	Opinion Ordered Published
	Comment: Opinion Ordered Published	

OCCD CA	08-25-2021	Public Domain Citation
	Comment: PDC No: 2021 WI App 60	

OCCD SC	08-16-2021	Fee Paid
	Comment: Receipt No: 21R 001875	

OCCD SC	08-13-2021	Petition for Review Reply Brief in Support of Petition for Review Response to Petition for Review Petition for Review
---------	------------	--

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 8-30-2021

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 1-13-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to file a reply is granted, and plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners' reply and appendix are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for review is granted and that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(6), the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for review unless otherwise ordered by the court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 20 days after the date of this order the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the defendant-respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District, and intervenors-defendants-respondents, Gender Equity Association of James Madison Memorial High School, Gender Sexuality Alliance of Madison West High School, and Gender Sexuality Alliance of Robert M. La Follette High School, must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the defendant-respondent and intervenors-defendants-respondents, within 10 days of filing of the last response brief the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' brief or petition for review or response; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and (continued..)

Motion Response

Filed By: Sarah Zylstra

Submit Date: 8-30-2021

Motion Response

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 9-8-2021

OCCD CA 08-09-2021 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Sarah Horner for Madison Metropolitan School District

OCCD CA 08-09-2021 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Sarah Zylstra for Madison Metropolitan School District

OCCD CA 08-06-2021 Sua Sponte
Filed By: Unassigned District 4
Submit Date: 8-6-2021
Decision: (N) No Action
Decision Date: 8-10-2021
IT IS ORDERED that this court takes no action on the appellants letter requesting an amendment to the caption.
Comment: Letter RE John and Jane Doe 5 Dismissal of Claims in Circuit Court

OCCD CA 08-06-2021 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: RE Dismissal of John Doe 5 and Jane Doe 5

OCCD CA 07-22-2021 [Opinion/Decision](#)
Judge Panel: Kloppenburg, Blanchard, Nashold
Opinion: Opinion
Decision: Affirmed Pages: 25
Written by: Kloppenburg, JoAnne F.
Order Text: Order affirmed.

OCCD SC 01-07-2021 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Luke Berg
Submit Date: 1-8-2021

Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 1-11-2021
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the reply is accepted for filing.

OCCD SC 12-09-2020 Fee Paid
Comment: Receipt No: 20R 002451

OCCD SC 12-09-2020 [Petition for Review](#)
[Petition for Review](#)
Filed By: Luke Berg
Submit Date: 12-23-2020
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 3-2-2021
IT IS ORDERED that the petition for review is denied, without costs.
REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., dissents.
Motion Response
Filed By: Emily Feinstein
Submit Date: 12-23-2020

OCCD CA 11-20-2020 Submitted on Briefs

OCCD CA 11-04-2020 Briefs Received At State Law Library

OCCD CA 10-30-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Richard Esenberg
Submit Date: 11-9-2020
Decision: (N) No Action
Decision Date: 11-12-2020
No Action

OCCD CA 10-30-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Luke Berg
Submit Date: 10-30-2020
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 11-9-2020
IT IS ORDERED that the motion for permission to file a reply is granted.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for injunctive relief pending appeal is denied.

OCCD CA 10-26-2020 Record and Briefs Sent to District 4

OCCD CA 10-23-2020 [Reply Brief](#)
[Reply Brief](#)
Filed By: Luke Berg

OCCD CA 10-14-2020 Court Order
IT IS ORDERED that the respondents shall file a response to the appellants motion no later than October 27, 2020. The respondents may file separate responses or a single joint response.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appellants reply brief remains due on its existing deadline.
See MMS event filed on 10-13-2020

OCCD CA 10-13-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Luke Berg
Submit Date: 10-13-2020
Decision: (D) Deny
Decision Date: 11-9-2020
IT IS ORDERED that the motion for permission to file a reply is granted.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for injunctive relief pending appeal is denied.
Motion Response
Filed By: Barry Blonien
Submit Date: 10-28-2020
This motion contains court order(s).

OCCD CA 10-08-2020 Certificate of Filing by Mail
Comment: Respondent's brief 10 copies mailed 10-05-2020 (IB)

OCCD CA 10-08-2020 Brief of Respondent(s)
[Brief of Respondents \(Joint\)](#)

Filed By: Emily Feinstein

Comment: Separate appendix. Please note that the Trial Court Judge and County appealed from have been added to the cover of all briefs. Please include this information on all future briefs pursuant to Rule 809.19(9).

OCCD CA 09-29-2020 Motion for Clarification
Filed By: Emily Feinstein
Submit Date: 9-29-2020
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 9-30-2020
IT IS ORDERED that the respondents brief shall be due on October 5, 2020.
Comment: or Motion to Extend Time; [original received 10/5/2020]

OCCD CA 09-14-2020 Non Final Dismissal
Pages: 1
Order Text: ORD that John and Jane Does 6 and 8 are dismissed pursuant to Rule 809.18.
Comment: Non Final Dismissal

OCCD CA 09-14-2020 Caption Amended

OCCD CA 09-11-2020 Sua Sponte
Filed By: Unassigned District 4
Submit Date: 9-11-2020
Decision: (N) No Action
Decision Date: 9-14-2020
No action
Comment: Dismiss John and Jane Does 6 and 8?

OCCD CA 09-11-2020 Letter/Correspondence
Comment: From Attorney Luke Berg; Stating John and Jane Doe 6 and 8 were dismissed in the circuit

court

OCCD CA 08-31-2020

Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)

[Brief of Appellant](#)

Comment: Note that the caption has been corrected on this brief. Please use the above caption on all future briefs. Note the party designation on the caption was corrected on the covers of all briefs. Please use the above caption on all future briefs.

OCCD CA 07-22-2020

Sealed Documents

Comment: #60

OCCD CA 07-22-2020

Record

Comment: 1-21 to 96-1, ELECTRONICALLY FILED

OCCD CA 07-20-2020

Caption Amended

OCCD CA 07-10-2020

Other Papers

Comment: CRS; Meredith Seymour; Transcripts filed with CC 7/3/20; CRS

OCCD CA 06-26-2020

Statement on Transcript

Filed By: Luke Berg
Status: Prev. Filed

OCCD CA 06-22-2020

Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record

OCCD CA 06-22-2020

Fee Paid

Comment: Receipt No: 20R 001207

OCCD CA 06-22-2020

Notice of Appeal & Circuit Court Docket Entries

OCCD CA 06-17-2020

Fee Paid

Comment: Receipt No: 20R 001179

OCCD CA 06-17-2020

Petition for Leave to Appeal

Filed By: Luke Berg
Submit Date: 7-8-2020
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 7-20-2020

IT IS ORDERED that we accept jurisdiction over this appeal, either under WIS. STAT. 808.03 or WIS. STAT. RULE 809.50.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall include argument in their briefs addressing whether the order appealed is a final appealable order.

Motion Response

Filed By: Emily Feinstein
Submit Date: 7-8-2020

Motion Response
Filed By: Barry Blonien
Submit Date: 7-10-2020

OCCD	CA	06-15-2020	Docketing Statement from Appellant
------	----	------------	------------------------------------

OCCD	CA	06-12-2020	Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.
------	----	------------	------------------------------------

OCCD	CA	06-03-2020	Non-Final Order of Circuit Court
------	----	------------	----------------------------------
