

Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

Voces de la Frontera, Inc v. Dave Gerber

Appeal Number 2025AP002121 - OA

Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
PEND	SC		02-05-2026	Response Comment: Non Party Motion and Brief
PEND	SC		02-05-2026	Other Brief Comment: Reply Brief of BNO Statement
PEND	SC		01-22-2026	Other Brief Comment: Joint Respondent Brief or BNO Statement
PEND	SC		01-02-2026	Other Brief Comment: Petitioner Brief or BNO Statement
OCCD	SC	10-08-2025		Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission Filed By: Nicholas Boerke Submit Date: 10-8-2025 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 10-9-2025 IT IS ORDERED that the motion for pro hac vice admission of Attorney Courtland L. Culver is granted. A copy of SCR 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, can be found at the following link: https://www.wicourts.gov/sc/rules/chap10.pdf . A copy of SCR 10.03(4) is also attached to the newly admitted pro hac vice attorneys copy of this order; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the non-party brief is accepted for filing.
OCCD	SC	10-07-2025		Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief <u>NON-PARTY BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNION IN OPPOSITION TO VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC.'S PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT TO TAKE JURISDICTION OF AN ORIGINAL ACTION</u> <u>APPENDIX TO NON-PARTY BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNION IN OPPOSITION TO VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC.'S PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT TO TAKE JURISDICTION OF AN ORIGINAL ACTION</u>

Filed By: Nicholas Boerke

Submit Date: 10-7-2025

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 10-9-2025

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for pro hac vice admission of Attorney Courtland L. Culver is granted. A copy of SCR 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, can be found at the following link: <https://www.wicourts.gov/sc/rules/chap10.pdf>. A copy of SCR 10.03(4) is also attached to the newly admitted pro hac vice attorneys copy of this order; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the non-party brief is accepted for filing.

Comment: American Constitutional Rights Union (ACRU)

OCCD SC 10-07-2025 Response
Comment: Non party amicus motion/brief ordered 9/23/25

OCCD SC 10-07-2025 Response
Comment: response to petition as ordered 9/23/25

OCCD SC 10-01-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Woodford (Sheriff Resp except Meister)

OCCD SC 10-01-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Hentges (Sheriff Respondents except Meister)

OCCD SC 09-29-2025 Other Papers
Comment: Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel

OCCD SC 09-29-2025 Notice of Appearance

OCCD SC 09-26-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Phillips (Resp Meister)

OCCD SC 09-26-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Thome (Resp Meister)

OCCD SC 09-24-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Christopher for Petitioners

OCCD SC 09-23-2025 Order

IT IS ORDERED that the respondents, Sheriff Dave Gerber et al., are directed to file a response to the petition for leave to commence an original action on or before October 7, 2025. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae in support of or in opposition to the petition must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief pursuant to the requirements of Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19(7). Non-parties should also consult this courts Internal Operating Procedure concerning the nature of non-parties who may be granted leave to file a non-party brief. A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it. Any proposed non-party brief shall not exceed 20 pages if a monospaced font is used or 4,400 words if a proportional serif font is used. Any motion for leave with the proposed non-party brief attached shall be filed on or before

October 7, 2025. Any submission by a non-party that does not comply with Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19(7) and any proposed non-party brief for which this court does not grant leave will not be considered by the court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all Wisconsin attorneys participating in this case must each opt in to this case in the appellate court electronic filing system. All Wisconsin attorneys who are not already opted in for this case are hereby ordered to do so as soon as possible and no later than September 30, 2025.

OCCD SC 09-19-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Schwarz (petitioner)

OCCD SC 09-19-2025 Notice of Appearance
Comment: Cox (petitioner)

OCCD SC 09-19-2025 Certificate of Service
Comment: POA mailed to respondents

OCCD SC 09-19-2025 Fee Paid
Comment: Receipt No: 25R 002501

OCCD SC 09-18-2025 Court Order for Filing Fee Issued

OCCD SC 09-18-2025 Petition for an Original Action
[Respondents Joint Response to Petition For Original Action](#)

Filed By: R. Timothy Muth
Submit Date: 9-18-2025
Decision: (G) Grant
Decision Date: 12-3-2025

ORD that the POA is granted, this court assumes jurisdiction over this entire action, and the petitioner may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for leave to commence an original action except as otherwise ordered below by this court; and FRO that w/in 30 days after the date of this order the petitioner must file a brief in this court; that w/in 20 days of filing the respondents mustfile either a joint response brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the respondents, w/in 10 days of filing the petitioner must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed. The form, length, pagination, appendix, and certification requirements shall be the same as those governing standard appellate briefing in this court. See Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19, 809.63; and FRO that the parties briefs shall include a discussion of the following issues: 1. Does WIS. STAT. ch. 818 govern the authority of a sheriff to make a civil arrest only in civil actions pending in WI courts, or do these provisions additionally circumscribe a sheriffs authority to make a civil arrest pursuant to a federal immigration detainer; and 2. What impact, if any, does a sheriffs entry into a formal agreement with the federal govt pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 1357(g)(1) commonly referred to as a 287(g) agreement have on the issue stated in the Issue Presented section of the oap, paying particular attention to the statutory phrase consistent with State and local law in 8 U.S.C. 1357(g)(1); and 3. What impact, if any, does the fact that a sheriffs dept participates in immigration enforcement pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 1357(g)(10), w/out a 287(g) agreement, have on the issue stated in the Issue Presented section of the oap; and FRO that any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for le
Comment: ANNETTE KINGSLAND ZIEGLER, J. and REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J. dissent.
BRIAN K. HAGEDORN, J., writing separately.
