

# Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

## John Doe 1 v. Madison Metro School District

### Appeal Number 2020AP001032

Supreme Court

#### CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
OCCD	SC	08-23-2022		Remittitur
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		Cites 403 Wis. 2d 369
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		Cites 976 N.W.2d 584
OCCD	SC	07-08-2022		<a href="#">Opinion/Decision</a> Judge Panel: Roggensack, Bradley, Ziegler, Bradley, Dallet, Hagedorn, Karofsky Opinion: Opinion Decision: Affirmed and remanded Pages: 28 Written by: Hagedorn, Brian K. Roggensack, Patience Drake Wrote Dissenting Opinion 27 pages. Ziegler, Annette K. Joined Dissenting Opinion Bradley, Rebecca Grassl Joined Dissenting Opinion Order Text: The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed and the cause is remanded to the circuit court. Comment: Opinion/Decision - Supreme Court Opinion/Decision - Supreme Court
OCCD	SC	07-07-2022		Public Domain Citation Comment: PDC No: 2022 WI 65
OCCD	SC	05-24-2022		Oral Argument Comment: 11:00 a.m. (in the Capitol Hearing Room). Luke N. Berg for Plaintiff-Appellants-Petitioners. Sarah A. Zylstra for Defendant-Respondent. Adam R. Prinsen for Intervenors-Defendants-Respondents.
OCCD	SC	05-06-2022		Other Papers Comment: Returned mail
OCCD	SC	04-08-2022		Sua Sponte Filed By: Unassigned District 4

Submit Date: 4-8-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 4-11-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the court will hear oral argument in this matter on Tuesday, May 24, 2022, beginning at 11:00 a.m., in the Supreme Court Hearing Room, 2nd Floor, East Wing of the State Capitol, Madison, Wisconsin. The oral argument on this date will not be adjourned. Each side will have 30 minutes of oral argument as follows:

25 Minutes Plaintiffs-Appellants-Petitioners, John Doe 1, et al.

By: Luke N. Berg, Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty, Inc., Milwaukee, WI

15 Minutes Defendant-Respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District

By: Sarah A. Zylstra, Boardman & Clark, LLP, Madison, WI

15 Minutes Intervenors-Defendants-Respondents, Gender Equity Assn. of James Madison Memorial High School, et al.

By: Adam R. Prinsen, Quarles & Brady, Madison, WI

5 Minutes Luke N. Berg Rebuttal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party must have back-up counsel available to argue in the event that the designated attorney(s) cannot appear and present oral argument for any reason on the scheduled oral argument date;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if any special access, visual or hearing arrangements are necessary for your attendance in court, please advise the Clerk of the Supreme Court no later than Wednesday, May 18, 2022; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon arrival for oral argument, each attorney presenting argument shall check in with the Clerk's office staff outside the Supreme Court Hearing Room.

Comment: Letter from Attorney Prinsen 04-11-2022 Order

---

OCCD SC 04-08-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Attorney Prinsen

---

OCCD SC 03-31-2022 Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Brief of Amicus Curiae Professors of Psychology & Human Development in Support of Defendant-Respondent & Intervenors-Defendant-Respondent; The front cover states "Exhibit A" and therefore the eFiled copy is not identical to the physical copy. Please eFile an identical copy of the Brief.

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 [Brief of Amicus Curiae](#)  
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Professors of Psychology & Human Development\)](#)

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila

Comment: Professors of Psychology and Human Development (PPHD)

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 [Brief of Amicus Curiae](#)  
[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Madison Teachers Inc. \(MTI\)\)](#)

Filed By: Tamara Packard

Comment: Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 [Brief of Amicus Curiae](#)  
[Brief Of Amicus Curiae \(AACAP, WCCAP\)](#)

Filed By: Eric Pearson

Comment: American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) and Wisconsin Council of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (WCCAP)

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Liberty Justice Center\)](#)

Comment: Liberty Justice Center (LCJ)

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Cover Letter to Motion for Pro Hac Vice status

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Motion for Pro Hac Vice status

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila

Submit Date: 3-30-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.

Comment: Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr

---

OCCD SC 03-30-2022 Reply Brief-Supreme Court  
[Reply Brief - Supreme Court](#)

Filed By: Luke Berg

Comment: Separate appendix

---

OCCD SC 03-28-2022 Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Motion for Leave to File Non-Party Brief; This document is rejected because the Supreme Court does not currently accept this type of document via eFiling. If you have not done so already, please submit this document via US Mail, with the correct number of copies, to be filed with this Court.

---

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Victoria Davis Davila

Submit Date: 3-25-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.

Comment: Professors of Psychology and Human Development (PPHD)

---

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Eric Pearson

Submit Date: 3-25-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Cover letter to MAM - Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

---

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Tamara Packard

Submit Date: 3-25-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.

Comment: Madison Teachers Inc. (MTI)

---

OCCD SC 03-25-2022 Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Daniel Suhr

Submit Date: 3-25-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-30-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to file non-party briefs amici curiae are granted, and the briefs filed with the motions are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to admit Attorneys Shannon Minter and Asaf Orr, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's copy of this order.

Comment: Liberty Justice Center (LJC)

---

OCCD SC 03-25-2022

Brief of Amicus Curiae

[Brief of Amicus Curiae \(Wisconsin Family Action \(WFA\), et al.\)](#)

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz

Comment: Wisconsin Family Action (WFA), et al.

---

OCCD SC 03-24-2022

Motion to File Amicus/Non-Party Brief

Filed By: Matthew Fernholz

Submit Date: 3-24-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 3-25-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the brief filed with the motion is accepted as filed; and,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr., pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order.

Comment: Wisconsin Family Action (WFA), Illinois Family Institute (IFI), Minnesota Family Council (MFC), Delaware Family Policy Council (DFPC), Nebraska Family Alliance (NFA), Hawaii Family Forum (HFF), The Family Foundation (TFF), Minnesota-Wisconsin Baptist Convention (MWBC), Ethics and Religious Liberty commission of the Southern Baptist Convention (ERLCSBC), Concerned Women for America (CWA), Ethics and Public Policy Center (EPPC), National Legal Foundation (NLF), Pacific Justice Institute (PJI)

---

OCCD SC 03-24-2022 Motion for Pro Hac Vice status  
Filed By: Matthew Fernholz  
Submit Date: 3-24-2022  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 3-25-2022  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae is granted, and the brief filed with the motion is accepted as filed; and,  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr., pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order.  
Comment: Frederick W. Claybrook, Jr.

---

OCCD SC 03-21-2022 Motion for Pro Hac Vice status  
Filed By: Christine Donahoe  
Submit Date: 3-22-2022  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 3-22-2022  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion to admit Jon W. Davidson, pro hac vice, is granted. A copy of Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 10.03(4), setting forth the requirements for attorneys appearing pro hac vice, is attached to the moving party's order.  
Comment: Jon W. Davidson 03-22-2022 Order

---

OCCD SC 03-21-2022 Notice of Appearance  
Comment: Notice of Substitution of Counsel - Attorney Christine Donahoe replaced Attorney John Knight

---

OCCD SC 03-16-2022 Response Brief-Supreme Court  
[Joint Response Brief - Supreme Court](#)  
Comment: Separate appendix.

---

OCCD SC 02-23-2022 Assigned-Oral Argument

---

OCCD SC 02-14-2022 First Brief-Supreme Court  
[First Brief - Supreme Court](#)  
Filed By: Luke Berg

---

OCCD SC 02-10-2022 Motion for Clarification  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 2-11-2022  
Decision: (N) No Action  
Decision Date: 7-8-2022  
No action.  
Comment: Motion for Clarification as to Signature Requirements; made moot by S.Ct. Rule Order Nos. 19-02B and 20-07B (07/07/22).

---

OCCD SC 02-10-2022 Motion to Extend Time  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 2-11-2022  
Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 2-7-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioners' motion for an extension of time is granted. Petitioners' opening brief, which was received by the clerk of this court on February 14, 2022, is accepted for filing as of that date; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondents' motion for an extension of time is granted.

Respondents' response brief shall be served and filed on or before March 16, 2022; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing motions for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae set forth in the courts January 13, 2022 order is amended as follows. Any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 25, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7). A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it.

See BR1 event due on 2-2-2022

Comment: 02-17-2022 Order

---

OCCD SC 02-10-2022 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Emily Feinstein

Submit Date: 2-11-2022

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 2-17-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioners' motion for an extension of time is granted. Petitioners' opening brief, which was received by the clerk of this court on February 14, 2022, is accepted for filing as of that date; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondents' motion for an extension of time is granted.

Respondents' response brief shall be served and filed on or before March 16, 2022; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing motions for leave to file a non-party brief amicus curiae set forth in the courts January 13, 2022 order is amended as follows. Any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 25, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7). A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it.

Comment: Respondents' Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief

---

OCCD SC 02-09-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Clerk to Attorney Berg

---

OCCD SC 02-08-2022 Letter/Correspondence

Comment: Letter from Attorney Berg

---

OCCD SC 01-13-2022 Court Changed to Supreme Court

---

OCCD SC 01-13-2022 Court Order

(continued..)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the first brief filed in this court must contain, as part of the appendix, a copy of the decision of the court of appeals in this case; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if a party has not previously filed an electronic copy of the briefs filed on behalf of that party in the court of appeals, the party, within 30 days after the date of this order, must provide the clerk of this court with 10 copies of the brief previously filed on behalf of that party in the court of appeals; but if the party has already filed an electronic copy of such briefs, then there is no obligation to provide additional copies of those briefs to the clerk of this court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any non-party that wishes to file a non-party brief amicus curiae must file a motion for leave of the court to file a non-party brief by March 2, 2022. Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.19 (7). A proposed non-party brief must accompany the motion for leave to file it; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the allowance of costs, if any, in connection with the granting of the petition will abide the decision of this court on review.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J. (dissenting). I would deny the motion to file a reply. This court has seen an unfortunate recent trend of filing such motions.<sup>1</sup> I say unfortunate because a reply to a response to a petition for review is outside of this court's established rules. See Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(2) and (3) (providing requirements for petition for review and response to petition only)

---

OCCD CA 09-09-2021 Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Attorney Zylstra letter dated September 9, 2021 to Sheila Reiff, Clerk; This document is rejected because the Supreme Court does not currently accept this type of document via eFiling. If you have not done so already, please submit this document via US Mail, with the correct number of copies, to be filed with this Court.

---

OCCD CA 09-09-2021 Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Motion to file Reply in Support of Petition for Review; This document is rejected because the Supreme Court does not currently accept this type of document via eFiling. We have received paper copies in the Clerk's office already, no action necessary.

---

OCCD SC 09-08-2021 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 9-8-2021

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 1-13-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to file a reply is granted, and plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners' reply and appendix are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for review is granted and that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(6), the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for review unless otherwise ordered by the court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 20 days after the date of this order the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the defendant-respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District, and intervenors-defendants-respondents, Gender Equity Association of James Madison Memorial High School, Gender Sexuality Alliance of Madison West High School, and Gender Sexuality Alliance of Robert M. La Follette High School, must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the defendant-respondent and intervenors-defendants-respondents, within 10 days of filing of the last response brief the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' brief or petition for review or response; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and (continued..)

Motion Response

Filed By: Sarah Zylstra

Submit Date: 9-10-2021

Comment: Motion to file reply in support of petition for review; Response to Motion to file reply in support of petition for review

---

OCCD CA 09-08-2021 Final Publication

---

OCCD CA 08-25-2021 Cites  
399 Wis. 2d 102

---

OCCD CA 08-25-2021 Cites  
963 N.W.2d 823

---

OCCD CA 08-25-2021 Opinion Ordered Published  
Comment: Opinion Ordered Published

---

OCCD CA 08-25-2021 Public Domain Citation  
Comment: PDC No: 2021 WI App 60

---

OCCD SC 08-16-2021 Fee Paid  
Comment: Receipt No: 21R 001875

---

OCCD SC 08-13-2021 Petition for Review  
[Reply Brief in Support of Petition for Review](#)  
[Response to Petition for Review](#)  
[Petition for Review](#)

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 8-30-2021

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 1-13-2022

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to file a reply is granted, and plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners' reply and appendix are accepted as filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for review is granted and that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(6), the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for review unless otherwise ordered by the court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 20 days after the date of this order the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the defendant-respondent, Madison Metropolitan School District, and intervenors-defendants-respondents, Gender Equity Association of James Madison Memorial High School, Gender Sexuality Alliance of Madison West High School, and Gender Sexuality Alliance of Robert M. La Follette High School, must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the defendant-respondent and intervenors-defendants-respondents, within 10 days of filing of the last response brief the plaintiffs-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' brief or petition for review or response; instead, any material in these documents upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and (continued..)

Motion Response

Filed By: Sarah Zylstra

Submit Date: 8-30-2021

Motion Response

Filed By: Luke Berg

Submit Date: 9-8-2021

---

OCCD CA 08-09-2021 Notice of Appearance  
Comment: Sarah Horner for Madison Metropolitan School District

---

OCCD CA 08-09-2021 Notice of Appearance  
Comment: Sarah Zylstra for Madison Metropolitan School District

---



---

OCCD CA 08-06-2021 Sua Sponte  
Filed By: Unassigned District 4  
Submit Date: 8-6-2021  
Decision: (N) No Action  
Decision Date: 8-10-2021  
IT IS ORDERED that this court takes no action on the appellants letter requesting an amendment to the caption.  
Comment: Letter RE John and Jane Doe 5 Dismissal of Claims in Circuit Court

---

OCCD CA 08-06-2021 Letter/Correspondence  
Comment: RE Dismissal of John Doe 5 and Jane Doe 5

---

OCCD CA 07-22-2021 [Opinion/Decision](#)  
Judge Panel: Kloppenburg, Blanchard, Nashold  
Opinion: Opinion  
Decision: Affirmed Pages: 25  
Written by: Kloppenburg, JoAnne F.  
Order Text: Order affirmed.

---

OCCD SC 01-07-2021 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 1-8-2021  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 1-11-2021  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the reply is accepted for filing.

---

OCCD SC 12-09-2020 Fee Paid  
Comment: Receipt No: 20R 002451

---

OCCD CA 12-09-2020 Rejected Electronic Document  
Comment: Petition for Review Appendix: This appendix has been rejected because it must be electronically filed as one document. It is not necessary to electronically file the appendix, but if you wish to do so it must be one document.

---

OCCD CA 12-09-2020 Rejected Electronic Document  
Comment: Petition for Review Appendix: This appendix has been rejected because it must be electronically filed as one document. It is not necessary to electronically file the appendix, but if you wish to do so it must be one document.

---

OCCD SC 12-09-2020 [Petition for Review](#)  
[Petition for Review](#)  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 12-23-2020  
Decision: (D) Deny  
Decision Date: 3-2-2021  
IT IS ORDERED that the petition for review is denied, without costs.  
REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., dissents.

Motion Response  
Filed By: Emily Feinstein  
Submit Date: 12-23-2020

---

OCCD CA 11-20-2020 Submitted on Briefs

---

OCCD CA 11-04-2020 Briefs Received At State Law Library

---

OCCD CA 10-30-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Richard Esenberg  
Submit Date: 11-9-2020  
Decision: (N) No Action  
Decision Date: 11-12-2020  
No Action

---

OCCD CA 10-30-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 10-30-2020  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 11-9-2020  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion for permission to file a reply is granted.  
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for injunctive relief pending appeal is denied.

---

OCCD CA 10-28-2020 Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Defendants-Respondents' Response and Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal And/Or Temporary Injunction; This document is rejected because this Court does not currently accept this type of document for filing via e-filing, pursuant to Wis. Stat. 809.80. We have received the paper copy of this document already, no further action is necessary.

---

OCCD CA 10-26-2020 Record and Briefs Sent to District 4

---

OCCD CA 10-23-2020 [Reply Brief](#)

Filed By: Luke Berg

---

OCCD CA 10-14-2020 Court Order

IT IS ORDERED that the respondents shall file a response to the appellants motion no later than October 27, 2020. The respondents may file separate responses or a single joint response.  
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appellants reply brief remains due on its existing deadline.  
See MMS event filed on 10-13-2020

---

OCCD CA 10-13-2020 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 10-13-2020  
Decision: (D) Deny  
Decision Date: 11-9-2020  
IT IS ORDERED that the motion for permission to file a reply is granted.  
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for injunctive relief pending appeal is denied.  
Motion Response

Filed By: Barry Blonien  
Submit Date: 10-28-2020  
This motion contains court order(s).

---

OCCD CA 10-12-2020 Rejected Electronic Document  
Comment: Joint Brief of Defendants-Respondents; Corrected brief filed, no action necessary.

---

OCCD CA 10-08-2020 Certificate of Filing by Mail  
Comment: Respondent's brief 10 copies mailed 10-05-2020 (IB)

---

OCCD CA 10-08-2020 Brief of Respondent(s)  
[Brief of Respondents \(Joint\)](#)

Filed By: Emily Feinstein

Comment: Separate appendix. Please note that the Trial Court Judge and County appealed from have been added to the cover of all briefs. Please include this information on all future briefs pursuant to Rule 809.19(9).

---

OCCD CA 09-29-2020 Motion for Clarification  
Filed By: Emily Feinstein  
Submit Date: 9-29-2020  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 9-30-2020  
IT IS ORDERED that the respondents brief shall be due on October 5, 2020.  
Comment: or Motion to Extend Time; [original received 10/5/2020]

---

OCCD CA 09-14-2020 Non Final Dismissal  
Pages: 1  
Order Text: ORD that John and Jane Does 6 and 8 are dismissed pursuant to Rule 809.18.  
Comment: Non Final Dismissal

---

OCCD CA 09-14-2020 Caption Amended

---

OCCD CA 09-11-2020 Sua Sponte  
Filed By: Unassigned District 4  
Submit Date: 9-11-2020  
Decision: (N) No Action  
Decision Date: 9-14-2020  
No action  
Comment: Dismiss John and Jane Does 6 and 8?

---

OCCD CA 09-11-2020 Letter/Correspondence  
Comment: From Attorney Luke Berg; Stating John and Jane Doe 6 and 8 were dismissed in the circuit court

---

OCCD CA 09-02-2020 Rejected Electronic Document  
Comment: Brief of Appellants; this brief is rejected due to an incorrect caption and incorrect party designations. Please e-file a corrected version of your brief - with the caption being identical to that

listed on the case. Physical copies have been corrected by the Clerks Office.

---

OCCD CA 08-31-2020 Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)  
[Brief of Appellant](#)

Comment: Note that the caption has been corrected on this brief. Please use the above caption on all future briefs. Note the party designation on the caption was corrected on the covers of all briefs. Please use the above caption on all future briefs.

---

OCCD CA 07-22-2020 Sealed Documents  
Comment: #60

---

OCCD CA 07-22-2020 Record  
Comment: 1-21 to 96-1, ELECTRONICALLY FILED

---

OCCD CA 07-20-2020 Caption Amended

---

OCCD CA 07-10-2020 Other Papers  
Comment: CRS; Meredith Seymour; Transcripts filed with CC 7/3/20; CRS

---

OCCD CA 06-26-2020 Statement on Transcript  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Status: Prev. Filed

---

OCCD CA 06-22-2020 Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record

---

OCCD CA 06-22-2020 Fee Paid  
Comment: Receipt No: 20R 001207

---

OCCD CA 06-22-2020 Notice of Appeal & Court Record

---

OCCD CA 06-17-2020 Fee Paid  
Comment: Receipt No: 20R 001179

---

OCCD CA 06-17-2020 Petition for Leave to Appeal  
Filed By: Luke Berg  
Submit Date: 7-8-2020  
Decision: (G) Grant  
Decision Date: 7-20-2020  
IT IS ORDERED that we accept jurisdiction over this appeal, either under WIS. STAT. 808.03 or WIS. STAT. RULE 809.50.  
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall include argument in their briefs addressing whether the order appealed is a final appealable order.  
Motion Response  
Filed By: Emily Feinstein  
Submit Date: 7-8-2020

WSCCA Case History

Motion Response  
Filed By: Barry Blonien  
Submit Date: 7-10-2020

---

OCCD CA 06-15-2020 Docketing Statement from Appellant

---

OCCD CA 06-12-2020 Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.

---

OCCD CA 06-03-2020 Non-Final Order of Circuit Court

---