

Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Case Access

State v. Anthony James Jendusa

Appeal Number 2018AP002357 - LV

Supreme Court

CASE HISTORY

Status	Court	Filing Date	Anticipated Due Date	Activity
PEND	SC		02-24-2020	Record
Comment: see 2/4/20 order				
PEND	SC		02-24-2020	First Brief-Supreme Court
Comment: stayed pending filing of record				
OCCD	SC	01-29-2020		Other Papers
Comment: Substitution of Counsel - SPD Haskell replacing Weitz				
OCCD	SC	01-27-2020		Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
Filed By: Lisa Kumfer Submit Date: 1-28-2020 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 2-4-2020 ORD that the motion is granted. The clerk of the circuit court shall transmit the record for case no. 2016CI05 to this court within 20 days of the date of this order. FRO that the briefing schedule set forth in this court's January 23, 2020 order shall be stayed until the record has been filed in this court. The first brief shall be filed within 30 days of the date the record has been filed in this court.				
Comment: order for transmitting record				
OCCD	SC	01-23-2020		Court Changed to Supreme Court
OCCD	SC	08-15-2019		Petition for Review
Filed By: Lisa Kumfer Submit Date: 9-4-2019 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 1-23-2020 IT IS ORDERED that the petition for review is granted and that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rule) 809.62(6), the parties may not raise or argue issues not set forth in the petition for review unless otherwise ordered by the court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, notwithstanding the previous paragraph, the parties' briefs address the following issues: (1) Does release of the information in the database sought by the respondent				

violate either Wisconsin or federal law, see e.g., Wis. Stat. 51.30; Wis. Stat. 146.81-83; Wis. Admin. Code ch. DHS 92; 42 C.F.R. ch. 1(A)2, 2a; 42 C.F.R. Part 2; 45 C.F.R. Subt. A, Subch. A., pt. 46 (protection of human subjects); 45 C.F.R. Subt. A, Subch. C, pt. 164 (HIPPA); (2) Does an entity like the Department of Corrections fall under the umbrella of the state for the purposes of the Wis. Stat. Ch. 980 discovery statutes; (3) Does the circuit court have authority to order a non-investigative agency to provide a defendant with data that does not meet any of the discovery provisions in Wis. Stat. Ch. 980; (4) Does Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) impose any duty on a prosecutor in sexually violent person commitment trials?

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Wis. Stat. (Rules) 809.62(6) and 809.63, within 30 days after the date of this order the petitioner-petitioner-petitioner must file a brief in this court; that within 20 days of filing the respondent-respondent must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the respondent-respondent, within 10 days of filing the petitioner-petitioner-petitioner must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' filings or petition for review or respon

OCCD CA 07-16-2019 Opinion/Decision
 Judge Panel: Brash, Kessler, Dugan
 Opinion: Memo Opinion
 Decision: Leave to Appeal Denied Pages: 1
 Order Text: IT IS ORDERED that the petition for leave to appeal is denied.

OCCD CA 12-21-2018 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief
 Filed By: Evan Weitz
 Submit Date: 12-21-2018
 Decision: (G) Grant
 Decision Date: 12-27-2018
 ORD that the deadline for responding to the petition for leave to appeal is extended until January 14, 2019.
 Comment: MXT to respond to PLV

OCCD CA 12-12-2018 Fee Waived
 Comment: State filed

OCCD CA 12-12-2018 Petition for Leave to Appeal
 Filed By: Lisa Kumfer
 Submit Date: 1-14-2019
 Decision: (D) Deny
 Decision Date: 7-16-2019
 IT IS ORDERED that the petition for leave to appeal is denied.
 Motion Response
 Filed By: Evan Weitz
 Submit Date: 1-14-2019

OCCD CA 11-29-2018 Non-Final Order of Circuit Court
